



the 23 and Me Research Team, Demontis, D., Walters, R. K., Martin, J., Mattheisen, M., Als, T. D., Agerbo, E., Baldursson, G., Belliveau, R., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Cerrato, F., Chambert, K., Churchhouse, C., Dumont, A., Eriksson, N., Gandal, M., ... Medland, S. E. (2019). Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Genetics*, 51(1), 63-75. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
Other

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Springer Nature at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Nat Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Genet. 2019 January ; 51(1): 63–75. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints

Correspond with: Benjamin M. Neale (bneale@broadinstitute.org), Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. Anders D. Børglum (anders@biomed.au.dk) Department of Biomedicine - Human Genetics, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. Stephen V. Faraone (sfaraone@childpsychresearch.org) Departments of Psychiatry and Neuroscience and Physiology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, USA.

[†]Equal contributions.

*Co-last authors.

Author Contributions

Analysis:

DD, R KW, JMar, MM, TDA, CC, NE, MG, KLG, MEH, DPH, HHai, JMal, ARM, JP, DSP, TP, SR, EBR, FKS, HS, PT, GBW, HW, DB, DG, CM, PR, PFS, JT, SEM, KS. ADB and BMN supervised and coordinated analyses.

Sample and/or data provider and processing:

DD, R KW, JMar, MM, EA, GB, RB, JB-G, MB-H, FC, KC, AD, NE, JGo, JGr, OOG, CSH, MVH, JMal, NGM, JMo, CBP, MGP, JBP, SR, CS, MJW, OAA, PA, CB, DB, BC, SD, BF, JGe, HHak, JH, HK, JK, KL, KPL, CM, AR, LAR, RS, PS, EJSSB, AT, JT, IW, SEM, DMH, OM, PBM, ADB, ADHD Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Early Lifecourse & Genetic Epidemiology (EAGLE) Consortium, 23andMe Research Team.

Core PI group:

SEM, KS, MN, DMH, TW, OM, PBM, MJD, SVF, ADB, BMN.

Core writing group:

DD, R KW, JM, SVF, ADB, BMN.

Direction of study: ADB, SVF, BMN.

All authors contributed with critical revision of the manuscript.

Competing Interests

In the past year, Dr. Faraone received income, potential income, travel expenses continuing education support and/or research support from Lundbeck, Rhodes, Arbor, KenPharm, Ironshore, Shire, Akili Interactive Labs, CogCubed, Alcobra, VAYA, Sunovion, Genomind and Neurolifesciences. With his institution, he has US patent US20130217707 A1 for the use of sodium-hydrogen exchange inhibitors in the treatment of ADHD. In previous years, he received support from: Shire, Neurovance, Alcobra, Otsuka, McNeil, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer and Eli Lilly. Dr. Faraone receives royalties from books published by Guilford Press: *Straight Talk about Your Child's Mental Health*, Oxford University Press: *Schizophrenia: The Facts and Elsevier: ADHD: Non-Pharmacologic Interventions*. He is principal investigator of www.adhdinadults.com.

Dr. Neale is a member of Deep Genomics Scientific Advisory Board and has received travel expenses from Illumina. He also serves as a consultant for Avanir and Trigeminal solutions.

Olafur O. Gudmundsson, G. Bragi Walters, Hreinn Stefansson and Kari Stefansson are employees of deCODE genetics/Amgen. Nicholas Eriksson, Joyce Y Tung, and the 23andMe Research Team are employees of 23andMe, Inc., and hold stock or stock options in 23andMe.

Dr. Rohde has received honoraria, has been on the speakers' bureau/advisory board and/or has acted as a consultant for Eli-Lilly, Janssen-Cilag, Novartis, Medice and Shire in the last three years. He receives authorship royalties from Oxford Press and ArtMed. He also received travel award for taking part of 2015 WFADHD meeting from Shire. The ADHD and Juvenile Bipolar Disorder Outpatient Programs chaired by him received unrestricted educational and research support from the following pharmaceutical companies in the last three years: Eli-Lilly, Janssen-Cilag, Novartis, and Shire.

Over the last three years Dr. Sonuga-Barke has received speaker fees, consultancy, research funding and conference support from Shire Pharma and speaker fees from Janssen Cilag. He has received consultancy fees from Neurotech solutions, Aarhus University, Copenhagen University and Berhanderling, Skoleme, Copenhagen, KU Leuven. Book royalties from OUP and Jessica Kingsley. He is the editor-in-chief of the *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* for which his University receives financial support.

Dr. Franke has received educational speaking fees from Merz and Shire.

Dr. Schachar's disclosures: have equity and advisory board, Ironshore Pharmaceuticals Advisory Board.

Dr. Reif has received a research grant from Medice, and speaker's honorarium from Medice and Servier.

Dr. Haavik has received speaker fees from Shire, Lilly and Novartis.

Dr. Kranzler has been an advisory board member, consultant, or CME speaker for Alkermes, Indivior, and Lundbeck. He is also a member of the American Society of Clinical Psychopharmacology's Alcohol Clinical Trials Initiative, which was supported in the last three years by AbbVie, Alkermes, Ethypharm, Indivior, Lilly, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Pfizer, Arbor, and Amygdala Neurosciences.

Drs. Kranzler and Gelernter are named as inventors on PCT patent application #15/878,640 entitled: "Genotype-guided dosing of opioid agonists," filed January 24, 2018.

Dr. Asherson received honoraria paid to King's College London by Shire, Flynn Pharma, Lilly, Janssen, Novartis and Lundbeck for research, speaker fees, education events, advisory board membership or consultancy.

Dr. Andreassen has received speaker fees from Lundbeck and Sunovion. Dr. Kuntsi has received speaker's honorarium from Medice; all funds are received by King's College London and used for studies of ADHD.

Thomas Werge has acted as lecturer and scientific advisor to H. Lundbeck A/S

Availability of genotype data and summary statistics

For access to genotypes from the PGC cohorts and the iPSYCH sample interested researchers should contact the lead PIs (iPSYCH: lead PI Anders D. Børglum; PGC: Benjamin Neale and Stephen Faraone). Summary statistics can be downloaded from:

Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for

<https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads>

<http://ipsych.au.dk/downloads/>

<http://www.wikigenes.org/e/art/e/348.html>

Reporting summary

Further information about study design is available in the Life Sciences Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature

Consortium Members

Consortium authors are listed alphabetically by surname for each contributing group.

ADHD Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC)

Özgür Albayrak^{69,70} Richard J.L. Anney⁷¹ Maria Jesús Arranz⁷² Tobias J. Banaschewski⁷³ Claiton Bau^{56,74} Joseph Biederman^{75,76} Jan K. Buitelaar^{77,78} Miguel Casas^{79,80,81,82} Alice Charach⁸³ Jennifer Crosbie⁸³ Astrid Dempfle⁸⁴ Alysa E. Doyle^{85,86} Richard P. Ebstein⁸⁷ Josephine Elia^{88,89} Christine Freitag⁹⁰ Manuel Föcker⁶⁹ Michael Gill⁹¹ Eugenio Grever^{56,55} Ziaari Hawi⁹² Johannes Hebebrand⁶⁹ Beate Herpertz-Dahlmann⁹³ Amaia Hervas⁷² Anke Hinney⁶⁹ Sarah Hohmann⁷³ Peter Holmans⁷¹ Mara Hutz⁷⁴ Abel Ickowitz⁸³ Stefan Johansson⁹⁴ Lindsey Kent⁹⁵ Sarah Kittel-Schneider⁹⁶ Nanda Lambregts-Rommelse⁹⁷ Gerd Lehmkuhl⁹⁸ Sandra K. Loo⁹⁹ James J. McGough¹⁰⁰ Jobst Meyer¹⁰¹ Eric Mick¹⁰² Frank Middleton¹⁰³ Ana Miranda¹⁰⁴ Nina Roth Mota^{56,105} Fernando Mulas¹⁰⁶ Aisling Mulligan¹⁰⁷ Freimer Nelson¹⁰⁸ T. Trang Nguyen¹⁰⁹ Robert D. Oades¹¹⁰ Michael C. O'Donovan⁷¹ Michael J. Owen⁷¹ Haukur Palmason¹¹¹ Josep Antoni Ramos-Quiroga^{81,112,113,37} Tobias J. Renner^{114,115} Marta Ribasés^{81,112,37} Marcella Rietschel¹¹⁶ Olga Rivero⁴⁹ Jasmin Romansos¹¹⁷ Marcel Romansos¹¹⁸ Aribert Rothenberger¹¹⁹ Herbert Roeyers¹²⁰ Christina Sánchez-Mora^{81,112,37} André Scherag^{121,122} Benno G. Schimmelmann¹²³ Helmut Schäfer¹⁰⁹ Joseph Sergeant¹²⁴ Judith Sinzig^{98,125} Susan L. Smalley¹²⁶ Hans-Christoph Steinhausen^{127,128,129} Margaret Thompson¹³⁰ Alexandre Todorov¹³¹ Alejandro Arias Vasquez¹³² Susanne Walitza^{133,117} Yufeng Wang¹³⁴ Andreas Warnke¹¹⁷ Nigel Williams¹³⁵ Stephanie H. Witt¹¹⁶ Li Yang¹³⁴ Tetyana Zayats^{44,136} Yanli Zhang-James¹⁰³

Early Lifecourse & Genetic Epidemiology (EAGLE) Consortium

George Davey Smith¹³⁷ Gareth E. Davies^{34,138} Erik A. Ehli¹³⁸ David M. Evans^{137,139} Iryna O. Fedko³⁴ Corina U. Greven^{140,78,141} Maria M. Groen-Blokhuis¹⁴² Monica Guzens^{143,144,37,145} Anke R. Hammerschlag¹⁴⁶ Catharina A. Hartman¹⁴⁷ Joachim Heinrich^{148,149} Jouke Jan Hottenga¹⁵⁰ James Hudziak^{151,152,153,154} Astanand Jugessur^{155,156} John P. Kemp^{137,139} Eva Krapohl¹⁴¹ Mario Murcia^{37,157} Ronny Myhre¹⁵⁸ Ilja M. Nolte¹⁵⁹ Dale R. Nyholt¹⁶⁰ Johan Ormel¹⁴⁷ Klaasjan G. Ouwens³⁴ Irene Pappa^{145,161} Craig E. Pennell¹⁶² Robert Plomin¹⁴¹ Susan Ring^{137,163} Marie Standl¹⁴⁸ Evie Stergiakouli^{137,139} Beate St Pourcain^{137,164} Camilla Stoltenberg¹⁶⁵ Jordi Sunyer^{144,166,167} Elisabeth Thiering^{148,168} Henning Tiemeier¹⁵³ Carla M.T. Tiesler^{148,168} Nicholas J. Timpson¹³⁷ Maciej Trzaskowski²⁹ Peter Johannes van der Most¹⁵⁹ Natalia Vilor-Tejedor^{143,144,166} Carol A. Wang¹⁶² Andrew J.O. Whitehouse¹⁶⁹ Huiying Zhao¹⁶⁰

23andMe Research Team

Michelle Agee¹⁶ Babak Alipanahi¹⁶ Adam Auton¹⁶ Robert K. Bell¹⁶ Katarzyna Bryc¹⁶ Sarah L. Elson¹⁶ Pierre Fontanillas¹⁶ Nicholas A. Furlotte¹⁶ David A. Hinds¹⁶ Bethann S. Hromatka¹⁶ Karen E. Huber¹⁶ Aaron Kleinman¹⁶ Nadia K. Litterman¹⁶ Matthew H. McIntyre¹⁶ Joanna L. Mountain¹⁶ Carrie A.M. Northover¹⁶ Steven J. Pitts¹⁶ J. Fah Sathirapongsasuti¹⁶ Olga V. Sazonova¹⁶ Janie F. Shelton¹⁶ Suyash Shringarpure¹⁶ Chao Tian¹⁶ Vladimir Vacic¹⁶ Catherine H. Wilson¹⁶

⁶⁹ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

⁷⁰ Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, Hannover Medical School (MHH), Hannover, Germany

⁷¹ MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Department of Psychological Medicine and Neurology, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, Wales, UK

⁷² University Hospital Mutua Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain

⁷³ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health and Mannheim Medical Faculty, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

⁷⁴ Department of Genetics, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

⁷⁵ Pediatric Psychopharmacology Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

⁷⁶ Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

⁷⁷ Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behavior, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

⁷⁸ Karakter Child and Adolescent Psychiatry University Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

⁷⁹ Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

⁸⁰ Programa Corporatiu "Neurodevelopment Disorders along Life Span", Institut Català de la Salut, Barcelona, Spain

⁸¹ Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

⁸² Clinica Galatea y PAIMM, Mental Health Program for Impaired Physicians, Barcelona, Spain

⁸³ The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁸⁴ Institute of Medical Informatics and Statistics, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany

⁸⁵ Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

⁸⁶ Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder

- 87 National University of Singapore, Singapore
- 88 Department of Pediatrics, Nemours A.I. duPont Hospital for Children, Wilmington, DE, USA
- 89 Department of Psychiatry, Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- 90 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- 91 Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, Trinity Centre for Health Sciences, St. James's Hospital, Dublin 8, Ireland
- 92 School of Psychological Sciences and Monash Institute for Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
- 93 Department of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry & Psychosomatic Medicine of University Clinics, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany
- 94 K.G.Jebesen Centre for Psychiatric Disorders, Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- 95 University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK
- 96 Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, Frankfurt Germany
- 97 Karakter Child and Adolescent Psychiatry University Center and department of Psychiatry, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behavior, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, 6500 HB, The Netherlands
- 98 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
- 99 Department of Psychiatry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- 100 Semel Institute for Neuroscience & Human Behavior, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- 101 Institute of Psychobiology, Department of Neurobehavioral Genetics, University of Trier, Trier, Germany
- 102 Quantitative Health Sciences University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655, USA
- 103 Department of Psychiatry, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY, USA
- 104 Department of Developmental and Educational Psychology, University of Valencia, Spain
- 105 Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- 106 Instituto Valenciano de Neurologia Pediátrica (INVANEP), Valencia, Spain
- 107 Senior Lecturer in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University College Dublin, Ireland
- 108 Center for Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute for Neuroscience & Human Behavior, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- 109 University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany
- 110 Clinic for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
- 111 Landspítali National University Hospital, Reykjavik, Iceland
- 112 Psychiatric Genetics Unit, Group of Psychiatry, Mental Health and Addiction, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute (VHIR), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- 113 Department of Psychiatry and Legal Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- 114 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Universitätsklinikum Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- 115 Division of Molecular Psychiatry, ADHD Clinical Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Wuerzburg, Germany
- 116 Central Institute of Mental Health, Department of Genetic Epidemiology in Psychiatry, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany
- 117 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany
- 118 University Hospital of Würzburg, Center of Mental Health, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Wuerzburg, Germany
- 119 Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychotherapy, University Medical Center, Goettingen, Germany
- 120 Ghent University, Dunantlaan, Ghent, B-9000 Belgium
- 121 Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology (IMIBE), University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
- 122 Clinical Epidemiology, Integrated Research and Treatment Center, Center for Sepsis Control and Care (CSCC), Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany
- 123 University Hospital of Child- and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- 124 Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan, Amsterdam, The Netherlands;
- 125 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, LVR – Clinic Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- 126 University of California Los Angeles
- 127 University of Zurich, Switzerland
- 128 Aalborg University, Denmark
- 129 University of Basel, Switzerland
- 130 University of Southampton UK
- 131 Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
- 132 Department of Psychiatry & Human Genetics, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- 133 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

Abstract

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a highly heritable childhood behavioral disorder affecting 5% of children and 2.5% of adults. Common genetic variants contribute substantially to ADHD susceptibility, but no variants have been robustly associated with ADHD. We report a genome-wide association meta-analysis of 20,183 diagnosed ADHD cases and 35,191 controls that identifies variants surpassing genome-wide significance in 12 independent loci, revealing new and important information on the underlying biology of ADHD. Associations are enriched in evolutionarily constrained genomic regions, loss-of-function intolerant genes and around brain-expressed regulatory marks. Analyses of three replication studies; a cohort of

-
- 134 Peking University Institute of Mental Health, Beijing Shi, China
 135 Cardiff University, Medical Research Council Center for Neuropsychiatric Genetics & Genomics, Institute of Psychology, Medicine & Clinical Neuroscience, Cardiff, UK
 136 Analytic Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
 137 Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.
 138 Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, SD, USA
 139 University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, Translational Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
 140 Radboud University Medical Center, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
 141 Medical Research Council Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
 142 GGZ inGeest, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
 143 ISGlobal - Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL), Barcelona, Spain
 144 Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain
 145 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus University Medical Centre–Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
 146 Department of Complex Trait Genetics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam Neuroscience, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands
 147 Department of Psychiatry, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
 148 Institute of Epidemiology I, Helmholtz Zentrum München – German Research Centre for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany
 149 Institute and Outpatient Clinic for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, Inner City Clinic, University Hospital of Munich (LMU), Munich, Germany
 150 Biological Psychology, Faculty of Behavioural and Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
 151 Vermont Center for Children Youth and Families and University of Vermont Medical Center, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA
 152 Child Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA
 153 Erasmus University Medical Centre–Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
 154 Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth, Hanover, NH, USA
 155 Department of Genetic Research and Bioinformatics, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
 156 Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
 157 Epidemiology and Environmental Health Joint Research Unit, FISABIO-Universitat Jaume I-Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain.
 158 Department of Genes and Environment, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
 159 Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
 160 Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
 161 Generation R Study Group, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
 162 School of Women's and Infants' Health, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia, Australia
 163 School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
 164 Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
 165 Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
 166 CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain
 167 ISGlobal Barcelona Institute for Global Health, Barcelona, Spain
 168 Division of Metabolic Diseases and Nutritional Medicine, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Munich, Germany
 169 Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, West Perth, Western Australia, Australia

diagnosed ADHD, a self-reported ADHD sample and a meta-analysis of quantitative measures of ADHD symptoms in the population, support these findings while highlighting study-specific differences on genetic overlap with educational attainment. Strong concordance with GWAS of quantitative population measures of ADHD symptoms supports that clinical diagnosis of ADHD is an extreme expression of continuous heritable traits.

Introduction

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorder, that affects around 5% of children and adolescents and 2.5% of adults worldwide¹. ADHD is often persistent and markedly impairing with increased risk of harmful outcomes such as injuries², traffic accidents³, increased health care utilization^{4,5}, substance abuse⁶, criminality⁷, unemployment⁸, divorce⁴, suicide⁹, AIDS risk behaviors⁸, and premature mortality¹⁰. Epidemiologic and clinical studies implicate genetic and environmental risk factors that affect the structure and functional capacity of brain networks involved in behavior and cognition¹, in the etiology of ADHD.

Consensus estimates from over 30 twin studies indicate that the heritability of ADHD is 70–80% throughout the lifespan^{11,12} and that environmental risks are those not shared by siblings¹³. Twin studies also suggest that diagnosed ADHD represents the extreme tail of one or more heritable quantitative traits¹⁴. Additionally, family and twin studies report genetic overlap between ADHD and other conditions including antisocial personality disorder/behaviours¹⁵, cognitive impairment¹⁶, autism spectrum disorder^{17,18}, schizophrenia¹⁹, bipolar disorder²⁰, and major depressive disorder²¹.

Thus far genome-wide association studies (GWASs) to identify common DNA variants that increase the risk of ADHD have not been successful²². Nevertheless, genome-wide SNP heritability estimates range from 0.10 – 0.28^{23,24} supporting the notion that common variants comprise a significant fraction of the risk underlying ADHD²⁵ and that with increasing sample size, and thus increasing statistical power, genome-wide significant loci will emerge.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the common variant risk, also referred to as the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) heritability, of ADHD is also associated with depression²⁵, conduct problems²⁶, schizophrenia²⁷, continuous measures of ADHD symptoms^{28,29} and other neurodevelopmental traits²⁹ in the population. Genetic studies of quantitative ADHD symptom scores in children further support the hypothesis that ADHD is the extreme of a quantitative trait³⁰.

Here we present a genome-wide meta-analysis identifying the first genome-wide significant loci for ADHD using a combined sample of 55,374 individuals from an international collaboration. We also strengthen the case that the clinical diagnosis of ADHD is the extreme expression of one or more heritable quantitative traits, at least as it pertains to common variant genetic risk, by integrating our results with previous GWAS of ADHD-related behavior in the general population.

Results

Genome-wide significantly associated ADHD risk loci

Genotype array data for 20,183 ADHD cases and 35,191 controls were collected from 12 cohorts (Supplementary Table 1). These samples included a population-based cohort of 14,584 cases and 22,492 controls from Denmark collected by the Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research (iPSYCH; Supplementary Figure 1), and 11 European, North American and Chinese cohorts aggregated by the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC). ADHD cases in iPSYCH were identified from the national Psychiatric Central Research Register psychiatric and diagnosed by psychiatrists at a psychiatric hospital according to ICD10 (F90.0), and genotyped using Illumina PsychChip. Designs for the PGC cohorts have been described previously^{24,25,31,32,22} (see Supplementary Information for detailed cohort descriptions). All relevant ethical permissions and informed consent were obtained for the included cohorts (See Supplementary Information for details about approval authorities).

Prior to analysis, stringent quality control procedures were performed on the genotyped markers and individuals in each cohort using a standardized pipeline³³ (Online Methods). Related individuals were removed, and genetic outliers within each cohort were excluded based on principal component analysis. Non-genotyped markers were imputed using the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 reference panel³⁴ (Online Methods).

GWAS was conducted in each cohort using logistic regression with the imputed additive genotype dosages. Principal components were included as covariates to correct for population stratification³⁵ (Supplementary Information), and variants with imputation INFO score < 0.8 or minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.01 were excluded. The GWAS were then meta-analyzed using an inverse-variance weighted fixed effects model³⁶. The included single Chinese cohort had insufficient sample size for well-powered trans-ethnic modelling (Supplementary Figure 2). Association results were considered only for variants with an effective sample size greater than 70% of the full meta-analysis, leaving 8,047,421 variants in the final meta-analysis. A meta-analysis restricted to European-ancestry individuals (19,099 cases, 34,194 controls) was also performed to facilitate secondary analyses (Supplementary Information).

In total, 304 genetic variants in 12 loci surpassed the threshold for genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$; Figure 1, Table 1, Supplementary Figure 3). Results for the European ancestry meta-analysis were substantively similar (Supplementary Figure 4). No marker demonstrated significant heterogeneity between studies (Supplementary Figure 5 and 6) and no heterogeneity was observed between the Chinese and European ancestry cohorts (Supplementary Figure 2). Conditional analysis within each locus did not identify any independent secondary signals meeting genome-wide significance (Online Methods, Supplementary Table 2).

Homogeneity of effects between cohorts

No genome-wide significant heterogeneity was observed in the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis (Supplementary Information). Genetic correlation analysis (Online Methods) provided

further evidence that effects were consistent across cohort study designs. The estimated genetic correlation between the European ancestry PGC samples and the iPSYCH sample from LD score regression³⁷ was not significantly less than one ($r_g = 1.17$, $SE = 0.20$). The correlation between European ancestry PGC case/control and trio cohorts estimated with bivariate GREML was similarly close to one ($r_g = 1.02$, $SE = 0.32$; Supplementary Table 3).

Polygenic risk scores (PRS)³⁸ were also consistent across target samples. PRS computed in each PGC study using iPSYCH as the training sample were consistently higher in ADHD cases as compared to controls or pseudo-controls (Supplementary Figure 7). Increasing deciles of PRS in the PGC were associated with higher odds ratio (OR) for ADHD (Figure 2). A similar pattern was seen in five-fold cross validation in the iPSYCH cohort, with PRS for each subset computed from the other four iPSYCH subsets and the PGC samples used as training samples (Online Methods; Figure 2). Across iPSYCH subsets, the mean of the maximum variance explained by the estimated PRS (Nagelkerke's R^2) was 5.5% ($SE = 0.0012$) (Supplementary Figure 8). The difference in standardized PRS between cases and controls was stable across iPSYCH subsets ($OR = 1.56$, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.53 – 1.60; Supplementary Figure 9) and across waves and PGC cohorts (Supplementary Figure 10). These results further support the highly polygenic architecture of ADHD and demonstrate that ADHD risk is significantly associated with PRS in a dose-dependent manner.

Polygenic Architecture of ADHD

To assess the proportion of phenotypic variance explained by common variants we applied LD score regression³⁷ to results from the European ancestry meta-analysis (Online Methods). Assuming a population prevalence of 5% for ADHD³⁹, we estimate that the liability-scale SNP heritability $h^2_{\text{SNP}} = 0.216$ ($SE = 0.014$, $P = 8.18 \times 10^{-54}$; Supplementary Table 4). These estimated polygenic effects account for 88% ($SE = 0.0335$) of observed genome-wide inflation of the test statistics in the meta-analysis ($\lambda = 1.200$; see Supplementary Figure 11 for quantile-quantile plots); the remaining inflation, which may reflect confounding factors such as cryptic relatedness and population stratification, is significant but modest (intercept=1.0362, $SE = 0.0099$, $P = 2.27 \times 10^{-4}$).

To further characterize the patterns of heritability from the genome-wide association data, we partitioned SNP heritability by functional annotations as described in Finucane et al.⁴⁰ using partitioned LD Score regression (Online Methods). The analysis revealed significant enrichment in the heritability from SNPs located in conserved regions ($P = 8.49 \times 10^{-10}$; Supplementary Figure 12), supporting their biological importance. Enrichment of the SNP heritability in cell-type-specific regulatory elements was evaluated using the cell-type-specific group annotations described in Finucane et al.⁴⁰. We observed a significant enrichment of the average per SNP heritability for variants located in central nervous system specific regulatory elements (enrichment = 2.44, $SE = 0.35$, $P = 5.81 \times 10^{-5}$; Supplementary Figures 13 and 14).

Genetic correlation with other traits

Pairwise genetic correlation with ADHD was estimated for 219 phenotypes using LD score regression^{41,42} (Online Methods, Supplementary Data 1). Forty-three phenotypes demonstrated significant genetic overlap with ADHD ($P < 2.28 \times 10^{-4}$), including major depressive disorder⁴³, anorexia nervosa⁴⁴, educational outcomes^{45–49}, obesity-related phenotypes^{50–55}, smoking^{56–58}, reproductive success⁵⁹, insomnia⁶⁰, and mortality⁶¹ (Figure 3; Supplementary Table 5). In most domains the genetic correlation is supported by GWAS of multiple related phenotypes. For the positive genetic correlation with major depressive disorder ($r_g = 0.42$, $P = 7.38 \times 10^{-38}$), we also observe a positive correlation with depressive symptoms ($r_g = 0.45$, $P = 7.00 \times 10^{-19}$), neuroticism ($r_g = 0.26$, $P = 1.02 \times 10^{-8}$) and a negative correlation with subjective well-being ($r_g = -0.28$, $P = 3.73 \times 10^{-9}$). The positive genetic correlations with ever smoked ($r_g = 0.48$, $P = 4.33 \times 10^{-16}$) and with number of cigarettes smoked ($r_g = 0.45$, $P = 1.07 \times 10^{-5}$) are reinforced by significant positive correlation with lung cancer ($r_g = 0.39$, $P = 6.35 \times 10^{-10}$). Similarly, genetic correlations related to obesity include significant relationships with body mass index (BMI; $r_g = 0.26$, $P = 1.68 \times 10^{-15}$), waist-to-hip ratio ($r_g = 0.30$, $P = 1.16 \times 10^{-17}$), childhood obesity ($r_g = 0.22$, $P = 3.29 \times 10^{-6}$), HDL cholesterol ($r_g = -0.22$, $P = 2.44 \times 10^{-7}$), and Type 2 Diabetes ($r_g = 0.18$, $P = 7.80 \times 10^{-5}$). Additionally the negative correlation with years of schooling ($r_g = -0.53$, $P = 6.02 \times 10^{-80}$) is supported by a negative genetic correlation with human intelligence ($r_g = -0.41$, $P = 7.03 \times 10^{-26}$). Finally the genetic correlation with reproduction include a negative correlation with age of first birth ($r_g = -0.612$, $P = 3.70 \times 10^{-61}$) and a positive correlation with number of children ever born ($r_g = 0.42$, $P = 8.51 \times 10^{-17}$).

Biological annotation of significant loci

For the 12 genome-wide significant loci, Bayesian credible sets were defined to identify the set of variants at each locus most likely to include a variant with causal effect (Online Methods, Supplementary Data 2; Supplementary Table 6). Biological annotations of the variants in the credible set were then considered to identify functional or regulatory variants, common chromatin marks, and variants associated with gene expression (eQTLs) or in regions with gene interactions observed in Hi-C data (Online Methods, Supplementary Data 3). Broadly, the significant loci do not coincide with candidate genes proposed to play a role in ADHD⁶².

Here we highlight genes that are identified in the regions of association (see also Supplementary Table 7). The loci on chromosomes 2, 7, and 10 each have credible sets localized to a single gene with limited additional annotations. In the chromosome 7 locus, *FOXP2* encodes a forkhead/winged-helix transcription factor and is known to play an important role in synapse formation and neural mechanisms mediating the development of speech and learning^{63–65}. Comorbidity of ADHD with specific developmental disorders of language and learning is common (7 – 11%)^{66,67}, and poor language skills have been associated with higher inattention/hyperactivity symptoms in primary school⁶⁸. On chromosome 10, the ADHD association is intronic, located in *SORCS3*, which encodes a brain-expressed transmembrane receptor that is important for neuronal development and plasticity⁶⁹ and has previously been associated with depression^{43,70}.

Genome-wide significant loci on chromosomes 12 and 15 have more biological annotations supporting the co-localized genes. The credible set on chromosome 12 spans *DUSP6*, and includes an annotated missense variant in the first exon and an insertion near the transcription start site, though neither is the lead variant in the locus (Supplementary Data 4). *DUSP6* encodes a dual specificity phosphatase⁷¹, and may play a role in regulating neurotransmitter homeostasis by affecting dopamine levels in the synapses^{72,73}. Regulation of dopamine levels is likely to be relevant to ADHD since widely used ADHD medications have dopaminergic targets^{74,75} that increase the availability of synaptic dopamine. The chromosome 15 locus is located in *SEMA6D*, and the majority of variants in the credible set are strongly associated with expression of *SEMA6D* in fibroblasts⁷⁶. *SEMA6D* is active in the brain during embryonic development, and may play a role in neuronal wiring⁷⁷. Furthermore, variants in *SEMA6D* have previously been associated with educational attainment⁷⁸.

Credible set annotations at the remaining loci are more diverse (Supplementary Data 3). The most strongly associated locus on chromosome 1 (index variant rs112984125) covers a gene-rich 250kb region of strong LD. The index variant is intronic to *ST3GAL3*, and most SNPs in the credible set are strongly associated with expression of *ST3GAL3* in whole blood⁷⁹ (Supplementary Data 3). Missense mutations in *ST3GAL3* have been shown to cause autosomal recessive intellectual disability⁸⁰. Hi-C and eQTL annotations suggest multiple alternative genes however, including *PTPRF* (Supplementary Data 4). The locus also includes an intergenic variant, rs11210892, that has previously been associated with schizophrenia³³.

On chromosome 5, the credible set includes links to *LINC00461* and *TMEM161B* (Supplementary Data 3). The function of *LINC00461* is unclear, but the RNA has highly localized expression in the brain⁸¹ and the genome-wide significant locus overlaps with variants in *LINC00461* associated with educational attainment⁷⁸. Alternatively, a genome-wide significant SNP in this locus (rs304132) is located in *MEF2C-AS1*, of strong interest given previous associations between *MEF2C* and severe intellectual disability,^{82–84} cerebral malformation⁸³, depression⁷⁰, schizophrenia³³ and Alzheimer's disease⁸⁵, but the corresponding variant is not supported by the credible set analysis. Credible set annotations for other significant loci are similarly cryptic.

Analysis of gene sets

Competitive gene based tests were performed for *FOXP2* target genes, highly constrained genes, and for all Gene Ontology terms⁸⁶ from MsigDB 6.0⁸⁷ using MAGMA⁸⁸ (Online Methods). Association results for individual genes are consistent with the genome-wide significant loci for the GWAS (Supplementary Table 8), however four new genes passed the threshold for exome-wide significant association (Supplementary Figure 15a–d). Three independent sets of *FOXP2* downstream target genes^{89,90} were tested (Online Methods), none of which demonstrated significant association to ADHD (Supplementary Table 9). The lack of association may be caused by unknown functions of *FOXP2* driving ADHD risk, insufficient power to detect relevant downstream genes, or because only a small subset of biological functions regulated by *FOXP2* are relevant to ADHD pathogenesis.

Consistent with the partitioning of heritability, a set of 2,932 genes that are highly constrained and show high intolerance to loss of function⁹¹ showed significant association with ADHD ($\beta = 0.062$, $P = 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$; Supplementary Table 10). We also find little evidence for effects in previously proposed candidate genes for ADHD⁶²; of the nine proposed genes only *SLC9A9* showed weak association with ADHD ($P = 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$; Supplementary Table 11). None of the Gene Ontology gene sets were significant after correcting for multiple testing, although the most associated included interesting nominally significant pathways such as “dopamine receptor binding” ($P = 0.0010$) and “Excitatory Synapse” ($P = 0.0088$; Supplementary Data 5).

Replication of GWAS loci

For replication we evaluated the comparison of the GWAS meta-analysis of ADHD with three other independent ADHD-related GWASs: replication of top loci in an Icelandic cohort with ADHD status derived from medical records of ICD codes and medication history by deCODE (5,085 cases, 131,122 controls), a GWAS of self-reported ADHD status among 23andMe research participants (5,857 cases, 70,393 controls) and a meta-analysis of GWAS of childhood rating scales of ADHD symptoms performed by the EAGLE consortium (17,666 children < 13 years of age)³⁰ and QIMR⁹² (2,798 adolescents), referred to as EAGLE/QIMR throughout the text. Although the phenotyping and cohort ascertainment of the 23andMe and EAGLE/QIMR studies differ from the PGC and iPSYCH ADHD meta-analysis (Supplementary Information), they have clear relevance to understanding how the ADHD GWAS results generalize to closely related phenotypes.

Top loci from the ADHD GWAS showed moderate concordance across the three replication studies. Sign concordance between each of the three replication cohorts and the ADHD GWAS was significantly greater than would be expected by chance (range 72–82% concordant; $P < 0.0167 = 0.05/3$ replication cohorts; Supplementary Table 12) for nominally associated loci from the ADHD GWAS ($P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$), with the highest concordance observed in EAGLE/QIMR. The deCODE and 23andMe results also permit direct comparisons of the magnitude of effect sizes for the top loci in the ADHD loci (Supplementary Table 13). Regressing effect size estimates from each replication cohort on estimates from the ADHD GWAS adjusted for winner’s curse yields significantly positive slopes (deCODE slope = 0.664, $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$; 23andMe slope = 0.417, $P = 1.11 \times 10^{-3}$), although these slopes are less than one, suggesting imperfect replication. Among the genome-wide significant loci, rs9677504 (*SPAG16* locus) in deCODE and rs112984125 (*ST3GAL3/PTPRF* locus) and rs212178 (*LINC01572* locus) in 23andMe are notable outliers with weak replication results (Online Methods, Supplementary Figure 16–17).

The genome-wide data available from 23andMe and EAGLE/QIMR showed similar trends for replication. The genetic correlation between EAGLE/QIMR and the ADHD GWAS was extremely strong ($r_g = 0.970$, $SE = 0.207$, $P = 2.66 \times 10^{-6}$) and not significantly different from one (one-sided $P = 0.442$). Genetic correlation with 23andMe was weaker but still strongly positive ($r_g = 0.653$, $SE = 0.114$, $P = 1.11 \times 10^{-8}$), although also significantly less than 1 (one-sided $P = 1.17 \times 10^{-3}$). To explore this lower correlation we evaluated the genetic correlation between 23andMe and traits from LD Hub (see URLs)⁴² to potentially identify

differences in the profile of genetic correlation compared to the ADHD GWAS (Online Methods). This comparison identified striking differences (Supplementary Table 14), most notably that the 23andMe GWAS show little to no genetic correlation with college completion ($r_g = 0.056$, compared to $r_g = -0.54$ for the primary ADHD GWAS; approximate $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-9}$ for difference) and other education-related phenotypes. Genetic correlations with obesity-related phenotypes were similarly smaller for the 23andMe cohort. The one domain where 23andMe exhibited a trend toward stronger genetic correlations were schizophrenia ($r_g = 0.27$, vs. $r_g = 0.12$ in ADHD, $P = 0.053$) and bipolar disorder ($r_g = 0.029$, vs. $r_g = 0.095$ in ADHD, $P = 0.09$), though these trends are not significant with the approximated test of the difference in genetic correlation.

Finally, we meta-analyzed the ADHD GWAS with each replication cohort. For EAGLE/QIMR, we developed a novel model to meta-analyze the GWAS of the continuous measure of ADHD with the clinical diagnosis in the ADHD GWAS. In brief, we perform a Z-score based meta-analysis using a weighting scheme derived from the SNP heritability and effective sample size for each phenotype that fully accounts for the differences in measurement scale (detailed description in Supplementary Information, and Supplementary Figures 18–20). This calibration based on the genome-wide estimate of heritability prevents joint meta-analysis of all replication cohorts since genome-wide data is not available for the deCODE study.

Meta-analyses of the ADHD GWAS with each replication study identified 10 genome-wide significant loci ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, without multiple testing correction) in meta-analysis with deCODE, 10 significant loci with 23andMe, and 15 significant loci with EAGLE/QIMR (Supplementary Data 6, Supplementary Figures 21–22). Of the 12 significant loci from the primary ADHD GWAS, four were significant in all three of these replication meta-analyses: index variants rs11420276 (*ST3GAL3/PTPRF*), rs5886709 (*FOXP2*), rs11591402 (*SORCS3*), and rs1427829 (intergenic). The remaining loci were all significant in at least one of the replication meta-analyses. In addition, ten novel loci reached genome-wide significance in the replication meta-analyses, of which three loci were significant in two of these analyses (Supplementary Data 6): index variants rs1592757 / rs30266 (Refseq *LOC105379109*), rs28452470 / rs1443749 (*CADPS2*), and rs2243638 / rs9574218 (*RNF219-AS1*). The *CADPS2* locus has recently been identified in autism spectrum disorder as a novel locus shared with educational attainment⁹³.

Meta-analysis with the 23andMe cohort also found genome-wide significant heterogeneity at the lead Chromosome 1 locus from the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis (rs12410155: $I^2 = 97.2$, $P = 2.29 \times 10^{-9}$; Supplementary Figures 23–24). This heterogeneity is consistent with the moderate sign concordance, effect size replication, and genetic correlation of the 23andMe cohort with the ADHD GWAS. Notably, the lead chromosome 1 locus in the ADHD GWAS

URLs

LD-Hub: <http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org/ldhub/>

LD score regression: <https://github.com/bulik/ldsc>

Pre-computed European LD scores: <https://data.broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/LDSCORE/>

PGC Ricopili GWA pipeline: <https://github.com/Nealelab/ricopili>

Credible set analysis: <https://github.com/hailianghuang/FM-summary>

FUMA: <http://fuma.ctglab.nl>

overlaps a reported association with educational attainment⁷⁸, suggesting this heterogeneity is consistent with the much weaker genetic correlation between the 23andMe results and published GWAS of education-related outcomes. No genome-wide significant heterogeneity was observed in the replication meta-analyses with deCODE or EAGLE/QIMR (Supplementary Figures 25–26, Supplementary Data 6).

Discussion

GWAS meta-analysis of ADHD revealed the first genome-wide significant risk loci, and indicates an important role for common variants in the polygenic architecture of ADHD. Several of the loci are located in or near genes that implicate neurodevelopmental processes that are likely to be relevant to ADHD, including *FOXP2*, *SORCS3*, and *DUSP6*. Future work may focus on refining the source of the strong association in each locus, especially the lead locus on chromosome 1 which is complicated by broad LD and substantial heterogeneity between ADHD the main meta-analysis and analysis of self-reported ADHD status in 23andMe.

The 12 significant loci are compelling, but only capture a tiny fraction of common variant risk for ADHD. The odds ratios for the risk increasing allele at the index SNPs in the 12 significant loci are modest, ranging from 1.077 to 1.198 (Table 1). This is within the range of effect sizes for common genetic variants that has been observed for other highly polygenic psychiatric disorders e.g. schizophrenia³³. A considerably larger proportion of the heritability of ADHD can be explained by all common variants ($h^2_{\text{snp}} = 0.22$, $\text{SE} = 0.01$). This is consistent with previous estimates of h^2_{snp} for ADHD in smaller studies (h^2_{snp} : 0.1 – 0.28)^{23,24}, and also comparable to SNP heritability estimates for schizophrenia (h^2_{snp} 0.23 – 0.26)^{23,24}. As would be hypothesized for a psychiatric disorder, these effects are enriched in conserved regions and regions containing enhancers and promoters of expression in central nervous system tissues, consistent with previous observations in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder⁴⁰. On the other hand, we do not observe substantial effects in most previously reported candidate genes for ADHD⁶².

Along with polygenicity, selection and evolutionary pressures may be an important feature of the architecture of ADHD genetics. We observe that ADHD risk variants are strongly enriched in genomic regions conserved in mammals⁹⁴, and constrained genes likely to be intolerant of loss-of-function mutations⁹¹ are associated with ADHD. We also find that common variant risk for ADHD is genetically correlated with having children younger and having more children, in line with epidemiological findings of increased risky sexual behaviour^{95–97} and increased risk of ADHD for children born to young parents^{98–100}. Given the phenotypic^{101,102} and genetic¹⁰³ correlation of ADHD with reduced educational attainment, positive selective pressure on the genetics of ADHD would be consistent with recent work suggesting that variants associated with educational attainment are under negative selection in Iceland¹⁰⁴. Future studies of fecundity and the role of rare and *de novo* variants in ADHD may provide more insight on selective pressures in ADHD-associated loci.

The observed genetic correlations with educational outcomes and other phenotypes suggest a strong genetic component to the epidemiological correlates of ADHD. The significant positive genetic correlation of ADHD with major depressive disorder and depressive symptoms supports previous findings suggesting a positive genetic overlap between those phenotypes^{24,42}, as well as the broader genetic overlap of psychiatric disorders^{23,24}. Positive genetic correlations between ADHD and health risk behaviors such as smoking and obesity are consistent with the observed increase in those behaviors among individuals with ADHD^{105–108} and are indicative of a shared genetic basis for these traits. We also observe a positive genetic correlation of ADHD with insomnia, consistent with reports of sleep disturbances in ADHD¹⁰⁹, but this relationship does not appear to generalize to other sleep-related phenotypes.

These genetic correlations may not generalize to all settings. We observe much weaker genetic correlation of the 23andMe ADHD results with educational attainment, with only partial genetic correlation between 23andMe and the current ADHD GWAS, including significant heterogeneity in the lead chromosome 1 locus. The pattern of replication for the top loci in the deCODE study is stronger but still mixed. These differences may reflect dissimilarities in phenotyping (e.g. self-report vs. medical records), exclusion of individuals with comorbid psychiatric disorders (deCODE), study population (e.g. higher average education and socio-economic status among 23andMe research participants possibly under-representing the proportion of individuals with ADHD with poor educational outcomes in the general population), or other study factors that should be a focus of future work.

On the other hand, the replication results from EAGLE³⁰/QIMR⁹² are much stronger and support the hypothesis that ADHD is the extreme expression of one or more heritable quantitative traits¹¹⁰. We observe strong concordance between the GWAS of ADHD and the previous GWASs of ADHD-related traits in the population, both in terms of genome-wide genetic correlation and concordance at individual loci. Polygenic risk for ADHD has previously been associated with inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive trait variation below clinical thresholds in the population²⁹. Shared genetic risk with health risk behaviors may similarly be hypothesized to reflect an impaired ability to self-regulate and inhibit impulsive behavior^{111,112}. The observed negative correlation between ADHD and anorexia nervosa may also be related to these behavioral factors.

In summary, we report 12 independent genome-wide significant loci associated with ADHD in GWAS meta-analysis of 55,374 individuals from 12 study cohorts. The GWAS meta-analysis implicates *FOXP2* and other biologically informative genes as well as constrained regions of the genome as important contributors to the etiology of ADHD. The results also highlight strong overlap with the genetics of ADHD-related traits and health risk behaviors in the population, encouraging a dimensional view of ADHD as the extreme end of a continuum of symptoms.

Online Methods

GWAS meta-analysis

Quality control, imputation and primary association analyses were done using the bioinformatics pipeline Ricopili (see URLs), developed by the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC)³³. In order to avoid potential study effects the 11 PGC samples and the 23 genotyping batches within iPSYCH were each processed separately unless otherwise stated (Supplementary Information).

Stringent quality control was applied to each cohort following standard procedures for GWAS, including filters for call rate, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and heterozygosity rates (Supplementary Information). Each cohort was then phased and imputed using the 1000 Genomes Project phase 3 (1KGP3)^{34,113} imputation reference panel using SHAPEIT¹¹⁴ and IMPUTE2¹¹⁵, respectively. For trio cohorts, pseudocontrols were defined from phased haplotypes prior to imputation.

Cryptic relatedness and population structure were evaluated using a set of high quality markers pruned for linkage disequilibrium (LD). Genetic relatedness was estimated using PLINK v1.9^{116,117} to identify first and second-degree relatives ($\hat{\pi} > 0.2$) and one individual was excluded from each related pair. Genetic outliers were identified for exclusion based on principal component analyses using EIGENSOFT^{35,118}. This was done separately for each of the PGC cohorts and on a merged set of genotypes for the iPSYCH cohort (Supplementary Information). Across studies, a total of 20,183 cases and 35,191 controls remained for analysis after QC.

Genome-wide association analyses for the 11 PGC samples and the 23 waves in iPSYCH were performed using logistic regression model with the imputed marker dosages in PLINK v1.9^{116,117}. Principal components were included as covariates to control for population stratification^{35,118}, along with relevant study-specific covariates where applicable (Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1). Subsequently the results were meta-analysed using an inverse-variance weighted fixed effects model, implemented in METAL (version 2011-03-25)³⁶. Variants were filtered and included if imputation quality (INFO score) was > 0.8 and $MAF > 0.01$. Only markers supported by an effective sample size $N_{\text{eff}} = 4/(1/N_{\text{cases}} + 1/N_{\text{controls}})$ ¹¹⁹ greater than 70% were included. After filtering, the meta-analysis included results for 8,047,421 markers.

Conditional analysis

Twelve independent genome-wide significant loci were identified by LD clumping and merging loci within 400 kb (Supplementary Information). In two of these loci a second index variant persisted after LD clumping. The two putative secondary signals were evaluated by considering analysis conditional on the lead index variant in each locus. In each cohort, logistic regression was performed with the imputed genotype dosage for the lead index variant included as a covariate. All covariates from the primary GWAS (e.g. principal components) were also included. The conditional association results were then combined in an inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis.

Genetic correlations between ADHD samples

Genetic correlation between the European-ancestry PGC and iPSYCH GWAS results was calculated using LD Score regression³⁷. The regression was performed using pre-computed LD scores for HapMap3 SNPs calculated based on 378 European-ancestry individuals from the 1000 Genomes Project (see URLs). Only results for markers with an imputation INFO score > 0.90 were included in the analysis. In addition, a bivariate GREML analysis was conducted using GCTA¹²⁰ in order to estimate the genetic correlation between PGC case/control and trio study designs.

Polygenic Risk Scores for ADHD

The iPSYCH sample were split into five groups, and subsequently five leave-one-out association analyses were conducted, using four out of five groups and the PGC samples as training datasets³⁸. PRS were estimated for each target sample using variants passing a range of association P-value thresholds in the training samples. PRS were calculated by multiplying the natural log of the odds ratio of each variant by the allele-dosage (imputation probability) and whole-genome polygenic risk scores were obtained by summing values over variants for each individual.

For each of the five groups of target samples, PRS were normalized and the significance of the case-control score difference was tested by standard logistic regression including principal components. For each target group and for each P-value threshold the proportion of variance explained (i.e. Nagelkerke's R^2) was estimated by comparing the regression with PRS to a reduced model with covariates only. The OR for ADHD within each PRS decile group was estimated based on the normalized score across groups (using the P-value threshold with the highest Nagelkerke's R^2 within each target group) (Figure 3). OR was also estimated using logistic regression on the continuous scores for each target group separately and an OR based on all samples using the normalized PRS score across all groups (Supplementary Figure 9). Additionally PRS were evaluated in the PGC samples using the iPSYCH sample as training sample, following the approach described above (Supplementary Information).

SNP heritability and intercept evaluation

LD score regression³⁷ was used to evaluate the relative contribution of polygenic effects and confounding factors, such as cryptic relatedness and population stratification, to deviation from the null in the genome-wide distribution of GWAS χ^2 statistics. Analysis was performed using pre-computed LD scores from European-ancestry samples in the 1000 Genomes Project (see URLs) and summary statistics for the European-ancestry ADHD GWAS to ensure matching of population LD structure. The influence of confounding factors was tested by comparing the estimated intercept of the LD score regression to one, its expected value under the null hypothesis of no confounding from e.g. population stratification. The ratio between this deviation and the deviation of the mean χ^2 from one (i.e. its expected value under the null hypothesis of no association) was used to estimate the proportion of inflation in χ^2 attributable to confounding as opposed to true polygenic effects (ratio = (intercept-1)/(mean χ^2 -1)). SNP heritability was estimated based on the slope of the

LD score regression, with heritability on the liability scale calculated assuming a 5% population prevalence of ADHD³⁹.

Partitioning of the heritability

SNP heritability was partitioned by functional category and tissue association using LD score regression⁴⁰. Partitioning was performed for 53 overlapping functional categories, as well as 220 cell-type-specific annotations grouped into 10 cell-type groups, as described in Finucane et al.⁴⁰. For both sets of annotations we used previously computed LD scores and allele frequencies from European ancestry samples in the 1000 Genomes Project (available on see URLs).

Additionally we expanded the cell-type specific heritability analysis by including an annotation based on information about H3K4Me1 imputed gapped peaks excluding the broad MHC-region (chr6:25–35MB), generated by the Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium^{121,122} (Supplementary Information). The analyses were restricted to the European GWAS meta-analysis results to ensure matching of population LD structure. Results for each functional category were evaluated based on marginal enrichment, defined as the proportion of SNP heritability explained by SNPs in the annotation divided by the proportion of genome-wide SNPs in the annotation⁴⁰. For each cell-type group and each H3K4Me1 cell-type annotations, the contribution to SNP heritability was tested conditional on the baseline model containing the 53 functional categories.

Genetic correlations of ADHD with other traits

The genetic correlations of ADHD with other phenotypes were evaluated using LD Score regression⁴². For a given pair of traits, LD score regression estimates the expected population correlation between the best possible linear SNP-based predictor for each trait, restricting to common SNPs. Such correlation of genetic risk may reflect a combination of colocalization, pleiotropy, shared biological mechanisms, and causal relationships between traits. Correlations were tested for 211 phenotypes with publically available GWAS summary statistics using LD Hub⁴¹ (Supplementary Information; see URLs). Additionally, we analysed on our local computer cluster, the genetic correlation of ADHD with eight phenotypes: human intelligence¹⁰³, four phenotypes related to education and cognition analyzed in samples from the UK_Biobank⁴⁹ (college/university degree, verbal-numerical reasoning, memory and reaction time), insomnia⁶⁰, anorexia nervosa⁴⁴, and major depressive disorder⁴³. The genetic correlation with major depressive disorder was tested using GWAS results from an updated analysis of 130,664 cases with major depressive disorder and 330,470 controls from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. As in the previous LD score regression analyses, this estimation was based on summary statistics from the European GWAS meta-analysis, and significant correlations reported are for traits analysed using individuals with European ancestry.

Credible set analysis

We defined a credible set of variants in each locus using the method described by Maller et al.¹²³ (Supplementary Information), implemented by a freely available R script (see URLs). Under the assumption that (a) there is one causal variant in each locus, and (b) the causal

variant is observed in the genotype data, the credible set can be considered to have a 99% probability of containing the causal variant. For each the 12 genome-wide significant loci, variants within 1MB and in LD with correlation $r^2 > 0.4$ to the index variant were considered for inclusion in the credible set analysis. The credible set analysis was done using the European GWAS meta-analysis to ensure consistent LD structure in the analyzed cohorts.

Biological annotation of variants in credible set

The variants in the credible set for each locus, were annotated based on external reference data in order to evaluate potential functional consequences. In particular, we identify: (a) Gene and regulatory consequences annotated by Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) using Ensembl with genome build GRCh37¹²⁴. We exclude upstream and downstream consequences, and consequences for transcripts that lack a HGNC gene symbol (e.g. vega genes). (b) Variants within 2kb upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) of at least one gene isoform based on Gencode v19¹²⁵. (c) Variants annotated as interacting with a given gene in Hi-C data from samples of developing human cerebral cortex during neurogenesis and migration¹²⁶. Annotations are considered for both the germinal zone (GZ), primarily consisting of actively dividing neural progenitors, and the cortical and subcortical plate (CP), primarily consisting of post-mitotic neurons. (d) Variants identified as eQTLs based on gene expression in GTEx¹²⁷ or BIOS⁷⁹. Expression quantitative trait loci were annotated using FUMA (see URLs). We restricted to eQTL associations with false discovery rate (FDR) $< 1e-3$ within each dataset. (e) Chromatin states of each variant based on the 15-state chromHMM analysis of epigenomics data from Roadmap¹²⁸. The 15 states summarize to annotations of active chromatin marks (i.e. Active TSS, Flanking Active TSS, Flanking Transcription, Strong Transcription, Weak Transcription, Genic Enhancer, Enhancer, or Zinc Finger [ZNF] gene), repressed chromatin marks (Heterochromatin, Bivalent TSS, Flanking Bivalent TSS, Bivalent Enhancer, Repressed Polycomb, or Weak Repressed Polycomb), or quiescent. The most common chromatin state across 127 tissue/cell types was annotated using FUMA (see URLs). We also evaluated the annotated chromatin state from fetal brain.

Gene-set analyses

Gene-based association with ADHD was estimated with MAGMA 1.05⁸⁸ using the summary statistics from the European GWAS meta-analysis ($N_{\text{cases}} = 19,099$, $N_{\text{controls}} = 34,194$; Supplementary Information, Supplementary Information Table 1). Association was tested using the SNP-wise mean model, in which the sum of $-\log(\text{SNP P-value})$ for SNPs located within the transcribed region (defined using NCBI 37.3 gene definitions) was used as the test statistic. MAGMA accounts for gene-size, number of SNPs in a gene and LD between markers when estimating gene-based P-values. LD correction was based on estimates from the 1000 genome phase 3 European ancestry samples³⁴.

The generated gene-based P-values were used to analyze sets of genes in order to test for enrichment of association signals in genes belonging to specific biological pathways or processes. In the analysis only genes on autosomes, and genes located outside the broad MHC region (hg19:chr6:25–35M) were included. We used the gene names and locations and the European genotype reference panel provided with MAGMA. For gene sets we used sets with 10–1000 genes from the Gene Ontology sets⁸⁶ curated from MsigDB 6.0⁸⁷.

Targeted *FOXP2* downstream target gene sets were analysed for association with ADHD. Three sets were examined: 1) Putative target genes of *Foxp2* that were enriched in wild type compared to control *Foxp2* knockout mouse brains in ChIP-chip experiments (219 genes), 2) Genes showing differential expression in wild type compared to *Foxp2* knockout mouse brains (243 genes), and 3) *FOXP2* target genes that were enriched in either or both basal ganglia (BG) and inferior frontal cortex (IFC) from human fetal brain samples in ChIP-chip experiments (258 genes). Curated short lists of high-confidence genes were obtained from Vernes et al.⁸⁹ and Spiteri et al.⁹⁰.

A set of evolutionarily highly constrained genes were also analysed. The set of highly constrained genes was defined using a posterior probability of being loss-of-function intolerant (pLI) based on the observed and expected counts of protein-truncating variants (PTV) within each gene in a large study of over 60,000 exomes from the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC)⁹¹. Genes with pLI ≥ 0.9 were selected as the set of highly constrained genes (2932 genes).

Replication of GWAS loci

To replicate the results of the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis we compared the results to analyses of cohorts from deCODE and 23andMe, and a meta-analysis of two independent studies conducted by EAGLE and QIMR (referred to as EAGLE/QIMR). We evaluated evidence for replication based on: (a) sign tests of concordance between the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis and each replication cohort; (b) comparison of bias-corrected effect sizes between the ADHD GWAS and the deCODE and 23andMe replication cohorts; (c) genetic correlation between the ADHD GWAS and the 23andMe and EAGLE/QIMR replication cohorts; (d) meta-analysis of the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis results with the results from each replication cohort; and (e) tests of heterogeneity between the ADHD GWAS and each replication cohorts.

For the sign test, we first identified the overlapping SNPs present in the ADHD GWAS and each of the three replication analyses (i.e. deCODE, 23andMe, and EAGLE/QIMR). For each replication cohort intersecting SNPs were then clumped for LD ($r^2 > 0.05$ within 1 Mb) for all variants with $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ in the ADHD GWAS (or $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ for the deCODE replication) using 1000 Genomes Phase 3 data on European ancestry populations. After clumping, sign tests were performed to test the proportion of loci with a concordant direction of effect in the replication cohort (π) using a one sample test of the proportion with Yates' continuity correction¹²⁹ against a null hypothesis of $\pi = 0.50$ (i.e. the signs are concordant between the two analyses by chance) in R¹³⁰. This test was evaluated separately for concordance in deCODE, 23andMe, and EAGLE/QIMR for loci passing P-value thresholds of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ (i.e. genome-wide significant loci), $P < 1 \times 10^{-7}$, $P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$, $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$, and $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ in the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis (Supplementary Information).

In addition to testing concordance for the direction of effect, we also evaluate replication for the magnitude of the effect sizes. Specifically, for each of deCODE and 23andMe we regressed the effect size in the replication cohort (i.e. the log odds ratio) on the estimated effect size from the ADHD GWAS after adjustment for winner's curse for loci with $P <$

1e-6. Winner's curse correction is performed by computing posterior mean estimates of marginal SNP effects β_j after fitting a spike-and-slab distribution

$$\beta_j \sim \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } \pi \\ N(0, \tau^2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

by maximum likelihood as described by Okbay et al.⁷⁸ (Supplementary Information). For the regression of effect sizes we oriented all variants in the direction of the risk increasing allele estimated from the ADHD GWAS, constrained the intercept to zero, and weighted the variants proportional to the inverse of their squared standard error from the ADHD GWAS. A regression slope of one indicates “ideal” replication of all loci in the regression, whereas a slope of zero indicates no replication.

Genetic correlation of the ADHD GWAS with the 23andMe and EAGLE/QIMR results was computed using LD score regression³⁷ with pre-computed European ancestry LD scores following the same procedure as described above for other genetic correlation analyses. Genetic correlation could not be computed for deCODE since results were only available for top loci from the ADHD GWAS. To further explore the moderate genetic correlation between the 23andMe results and the ADHD GWAS we also evaluated the genetic correlation between 23andMe and traits from LD Hub (see URLs)⁴². To evaluate the magnitude of the observed differences in r_g we consider both the absolute difference (i.e. $|r_{g,ADHD} - r_{g,23andMe}|$) and the test of an approximate Z score for this difference (Supplementary Information):

$$Z = \frac{r_{g,ADHD} - r_{g,23andMe}}{\sqrt{SE_{ADHD}^2 + SE_{23andMe}^2}}$$

We do not expect this to be an ideal formal test for the difference between two genetic correlations, and therefore emphasize caution in interpreting the precise results. Nevertheless, it does offer a useful benchmark for evaluating the magnitude of the difference between the r_g estimates in the context of the uncertainty in those values.

Finally, we meta-analyzed the ADHD GWAS with the results from each replication cohort. For deCODE and 23andMe inverse variance-weighted meta-analyses were performed. For meta-analysis with the EAGLE/QIMR GWAS of ADHD-related behaviors in childhood population samples we used a modified sample size-based weighting method. Modified sample size-based weights were derived to account for the respective heritabilities, genetic correlation, and measurement scale of the GWASs (Supplementary Information). To summarize, given z -scores Z_{1j} and Z_{2j} resulting from GWAS of SNP j in a dichotomous phenotype (e.g. ADHD) with sample size N_1 and a continuous phenotype (e.g. ADHD-related traits) with sample size N_2 , respectively, we calculate

$$Z_{j,meta} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{N}_{1j}}Z_{1j} + \sqrt{\tilde{N}_{2j}}\tilde{Z}_{2j}}{\sqrt{\tilde{N}_{1j} + \tilde{N}_{2j}}}$$

where

$$\tilde{Z}_{2j} = \text{sign}(r_g) \frac{Z_{2j}}{\sqrt{1 + (1 - r_g^2)N_{2j}h_{2j}^2/M}}$$

$$\tilde{N}_{1j} = N_{1j} \frac{P(1-P)\phi(\Phi^{-1}[K])^2}{[K(1-K)]^2}$$

$$\tilde{N}_{2j} = N_{2j} \frac{r_g^2 h_2^2 / h_1^2}{1 + (1 - r_g^2)N_{2j}h_{2j}^2/M}$$

The adjusted sample sizes \tilde{N}_1 and \tilde{N}_2 reflect differences in power between the studies due to measurement scale and relative heritability that is not captured by sample size. The calculation of \tilde{Z}_2 reduces the contribution of the continuous phenotype's GWAS to the meta-analysis based on imperfect genetic correlation with the dichotomous phenotype of interest (i.e. ADHD). The adjustments are computed based on the sample prevalence (P) and population prevalence (K) of the dichotomous phenotype, the estimated liability scale SNP heritability of the two phenotypes (h_1^2 and h_2^2), and the genetic correlation (r_g) between the two phenotypes, as well as the average SNP LD score (l_j) and the number of SNPs (M). Heritability and genetic correlation values to compute these weights are computed using LD score regression. This meta-analysis weighting scheme is consistent with weights alternatively derived based on modelling the joint distribution of marginal GWAS beta across traits¹³¹.

To test heterogeneity with each replication cohort, we considered Cochran's Q test of heterogeneity in the meta-analyses. Specifically, we evaluated the one degree of freedom test for heterogeneity between the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis and the replication cohort.

Data Availability Statement

The PGC's policy is to make genome-wide summary results public. Summary statistics with the results from the ADHD GWAS meta-analysis of iPSYCH and the PGC samples are available on the PGC website (<https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads>). GWA summary statistics with results from the GWAS of ADHD symptom scores analyzed in the EAGLE sample can be accessed at the PGC website (see link above). Summary statistics for

the 23andMe dataset can be obtained by qualified researchers under an agreement with 23andMe that protects the privacy of the 23andMe participants.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Authors

Ditte Demontis^{†,1,2,3}, Raymond K. Walters^{†,4,5}, Joanna Martin^{5,6,7}, Manuel Mattheisen^{1,2,3,8,9,10}, Thomas D. Als^{1,2,3}, Esben Agerbo^{1,11,12}, Gísli Baldursson¹³, Rich Belliveau⁵, Jonas Bybjerg-Grauholm^{1,14}, Marie Bækvad-Hansen^{1,14}, Felecia Cerrato⁵, Kimberly Chambert⁵, Claire Churchhouse^{4,5,15}, Ashley Dumont⁵, Nicholas Eriksson¹⁶, Michael Gandal^{17,18,19,20}, Jacqueline I. Goldstein^{4,5,15}, Katrina L. Grasby²¹, Jakob Grove^{1,2,3,22}, Olafur O. Gudmundsson^{23,24,13}, Christine S. Hansen^{1,14,25}, Mads Engel Hauberg^{1,2,3}, Mads V. Hollegaard^{1,14}, Daniel P. Howrigan^{4,5}, Hailiang Huang^{4,5}, Julian B. Maller^{5,26}, Alicia R. Martin^{4,5,15}, Nicholas G. Martin²¹, Jennifer Moran⁵, Jonatan Pallesen^{1,2,3}, Duncan S. Palmer^{4,5}, Carsten Bøcker Pedersen^{1,11,12}, Marianne Giørtz Pedersen^{1,11,12}, Timothy Poterba^{4,5,15}, Jesper Buchhave Poulsen^{1,14}, Stephan Ripke^{4,5,27}, Elise B. Robinson^{4,28}, F. Kyle Satterstrom^{4,5,15}, Hreinn Stefansson²³, Christine Stevens⁵, Patrick Turley^{4,5}, G. Bragi Walters^{23,24}, Hyejung Won^{17,18}, Margaret J. Wright²⁹, ADHD Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC)³⁰, Early Lifecourse & Genetic Epidemiology (EAGLE) Consortium³⁰, 23 and Me Research Team³⁰, Ole A. Andreassen³¹, Philip Asherson³², Christie L. Burton³³, Dorret I. Boomsma^{34,35}, Bru Cormand^{36,37,38,39}, Søren Dalsgaard¹¹, Barbara Franke⁴⁰, Joel Gelernter^{41,42}, Daniel Geschwind^{17,18,19}, Hakon Hakonarson⁴³, Jan Haavik^{44,45}, Henry R. Kranzler^{46,47}, Jonna Kuntsi³², Kate Langley^{7,48}, Klaus-Peter Lesch^{49,50,51}, Christel Middeldorp^{34,52,53}, Andreas Reif⁵⁴, Luis Augusto Rohde^{55,56}, Panos Roussos^{57,58,59,60}, Russell Schachar³³, Pamela Sklar^{57,58,59}, Edmund J. S. Sonuga-Barke⁶¹, Patrick F. Sullivan^{62,6}, Anita Thapar⁷, Joyce Y. Tung¹⁶, Irwin D. Waldman⁶³, Sarah E. Medland²¹, Kari Stefansson^{23,24}, Merete Nordentoft^{1,64}, David M. Hougaard^{1,14}, Thomas Werge^{1,25,65}, Ole Mors^{1,66}, Preben Bo Mortensen^{1,2,11,12}, Mark J. Daly^{4,5,15,67}, Stephen V. Faraone^{*,68}, Anders D. Børghlum^{*,1,2,3}, and Benjamin M. Neale^{*,4,5,15}

Affiliations

¹ The Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, iPSYCH, Denmark ² Centre for Integrative Sequencing, iSEQ, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark ³ Department of Biomedicine - Human Genetics, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark ⁴ Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ⁵ Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ⁶ Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden ⁷ MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics & Genomics, School of Medicine, Cardiff

University, Cardiff, United Kingdom ⁸ Centre for Psychiatry Research, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden ⁹ Stockholm Health Care Services, Stockholm County Council, Stockholm, Sweden ¹⁰ Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany ¹¹ National Centre for Register-Based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark ¹² Centre for Integrated Register-based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark ¹³ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, National University Hospital, Reykjavik, Iceland ¹⁴ Center for Neonatal Screening, Department for Congenital Disorders, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark ¹⁵ Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁶ 23andMe, Inc, Mountain View, California, USA ¹⁷ Program in Neurogenetics, Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹⁸ Center for Autism Research and Treatment and Center for Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹⁹ Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA ²⁰ Department of Psychiatry, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA ²¹ QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia ²² Bioinformatics Research Centre, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark ²³ deCODE genetics/Amgen, Reykjavík, Iceland ²⁴ Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavík, Iceland ²⁵ Institute of Biological Psychiatry, MHC Sct. Hans, Mental Health Services Copenhagen, Roskilde, Denmark ²⁶ Genomics plc, Oxford, United Kingdom ²⁷ Dept. of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Charité - Universitätsmedizin, Berlin 10117, Germany ²⁸ Department of Epidemiology, Harvard Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ²⁹ Queensland Brain Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia ³⁰ A full list of consortium members can be found at the end of the article ³¹ NORMENT KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, University of Oslo and Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway ³² Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK ³³ Psychiatry, Neurosciences and Mental Health, The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada ³⁴ Department of Biological Psychology, Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, VU University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ³⁵ EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ³⁶ Departament de Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain ³⁷ Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain ³⁸ Institut de Biomedicina de la Universitat de Barcelona (IBUB), Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain ³⁹ Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu (IRSJD), Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain ⁴⁰ Departments of Human Genetics (855) and Psychiatry, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour,

Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ⁴¹ Department of Psychiatry, Genetics, and Neuroscience, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA ⁴² Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare Center, West Haven, Connecticut, USA ⁴³ The Center for Applied Genomics, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, The Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA ⁴⁴ K.G. Jebsen Centre for Neuropsychiatric Disorders, Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Norway ⁴⁵ Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway ⁴⁶ Department of Psychiatry, The Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA ⁴⁷ Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN4) Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (MIRECC), Crescenz VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA ⁴⁸ School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom ⁴⁹ Division of Molecular Psychiatry, Center of Mental Health, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany ⁵⁰ Department of Neuroscience, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience (MHENS), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands ⁵¹ Laboratory of Psychiatric Neurobiology, Institute of Molecular Medicine, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia ⁵² Child Health Research Centre, University of Queensland, Brisbane Australia ⁵³ Child and Youth Mental Health Service, Children's Health Queensland Hospital and Health Service, Brisbane, Australia ⁵⁴ Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany ⁵⁵ Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil ⁵⁶ ADHD Outpatient Clinic, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil ⁵⁷ Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA ⁵⁸ Institute for Genomics and Multiscale Biology, Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA ⁵⁹ Friedman Brain Institute, Department of Neuroscience, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA ⁶⁰ Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center (MIRECC), James J. Peters VA Medical Center, Bronx, New York, USA ⁶¹ Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, Kings College, London, UK ⁶² Departments of Genetics and Psychiatry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA ⁶³ Department of Psychology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA ⁶⁴ Mental Health Services in the Capital Region of Denmark, Mental Health Center Copenhagen, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark ⁶⁵ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark ⁶⁶ Psychosis Research Unit, Aarhus University Hospital, Risskov, Denmark ⁶⁷ Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), Helsinki Finland ⁶⁸ Departments of Psychiatry and Neuroscience and Physiology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, USA

Acknowledgements

The iPSYCH team acknowledges funding from the Lundbeck Foundation (grant no. R102-A9118 and R155-2014-1724), the Stanley Medical Research Institute, the European Research Council (project 294838), the European Community (EC) Horizon 2020 Programme (grant 667302 (CoCA)), from EC Seventh Framework

Programme (grant 602805 (Aggressotype)), the Novo Nordisk Foundation for supporting the Danish National Biobank resource, and grants from Aarhus and Copenhagen Universities and University Hospitals, including support to the iSEQ Center, the GenomeDK HPC facility, and the CIRRAU Center.

The Broad Institute and Massachusetts General Hospital investigators would like to acknowledge support from the Stanley Medical Research Institute and NIH grants: 5U01MH094432-04(PI: Daly), 1R01MH094469 (PI: Neale), 1R01MH107649-01 (PI: Neale), 1R01MH109539-01 (PI: Daly).

We thank T., Lehner, A. Addington and G. Senthil for their support in the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium.

Dr. Faraone is supported by the K.G. Jebsen Centre for Research on Neuropsychiatric Disorders, University of Bergen, Norway, the EC's Seventh Framework Programme (grant 602805), the EC's Horizon 2020 (grant 667302) and NIMH grants 5R01MH101519 and U01 MH109536-01.

Dr. J. Martin was supported by the Wellcome Trust (grant 106047).

Dr. B. Franke's research is supported by funding from a personal Vici grant of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO; grant 016-130-669, to BF), from the EC's Seventh Framework Programme (grant 602805 (Aggressotype), 602450 (IMAGEMEND), and 278948 (TACTICS)), and from the EC's Horizon 2020 Programme (grant643051 (MiND) and 667302 (CoCA)). In addition, this work was supported by the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ECNP Network "ADHD across the Lifespan").

Dr. Haavik is supported by grants from Stiftelsen K.G. Jebsen, University of Bergen and The Research Council of Norway.

Dr. Cormand received financial support for this research from the Spanish "Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad" (SAF2015-68341-R) and "Generalitat de Catalunya/AGAUR" (2017-SGR-738). Dr. Cormand, Dr. Reif and collaborators received funding from the EC's Seventh Framework Programme (grant 602805, Aggressotype), the EC's H2020 Programme (grants 667302, CoCA, and 402003, MiND), the ECNP network 'ADHD across the lifespan' and DFG CRC 1193, subproject Z03.

Dr. Andreassen is supported by the Research Council of Norway (grants: 223273, 248778, 213694, 249711), and KG Jebsen Stiftelsen.

Dr. Thapar received ADHD funding from the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council (MRC UK), Action Medical Research.

We thank the customers of 23andMe who answered surveys, as well as the employees of 23andMe, who together made this research possible.

The QIMR studies were supported by funding from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (grant numbers: 241944, 339462, 389927, 389875, 389891, 389892, 389938, 443036, 442915, 442981, 496739, 552485, and 552498, and most recently 1049894) and the Australian Research Council (grant numbers: A7960034, A79906588, A79801419, DP0212016, and DP0343921). SEM is supported by an NHMRC fellowship (1103623).

Additional acknowledgements can be found in the Supplementary Note.

References

1. Faraone SV et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 15020, doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.20 (2015).
2. Dalsgaard S, Leckman JF, Mortensen PB, Nielsen HS & Simonsen M Effect of drugs on the risk of injuries in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2, 702–709, doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00271-0 (2015). [PubMed: 26249301]
3. Chang Z, Lichtenstein P, D'Onofrio BM, Sjolander A & Larsson H Serious transport accidents in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and the effect of medication: a population-based study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 71, 319–325, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.4174 (2014). [PubMed: 24477798]
4. Biederman J & Faraone SV Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Lancet* 366, 237–248, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66915-2 (2005). [PubMed: 16023516]

5. Dalsgaard S, Nielsen HS & Simonsen M Consequences of ADHD medication use for children's outcomes. *J Health Econ* 37, 137–151, doi:10.1016/j.jhealeco.2014.05.005 (2014). [PubMed: 24997381]
6. Dalsgaard S, Mortensen PB, Frydenberg M & Thomsen PH ADHD, stimulant treatment in childhood and subsequent substance abuse in adulthood - a naturalistic long-term follow-up study. *Addict Behav* 39, 325–328, doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.09.002 (2014). [PubMed: 24090624]
7. Lichtenstein P & Larsson H Medication for attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder and criminality. *N Engl J Med* 368, 776, doi:10.1056/NEJMc1215531 (2013).
8. Barkley RA, Murphy KR & Fischer M ADHD in adults What the Science Says. (The Guilford Press, 2007).
9. Furczyk K & Thome J Adult ADHD and suicide. *Atten Defic Hyperact Disord* 6, 153–158, doi: 10.1007/s12402-014-0150-1 (2014). [PubMed: 25063344]
10. Dalsgaard S, Ostergaard SD, Leckman JF, Mortensen PB & Pedersen MG Mortality in children, adolescents, and adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a nationwide cohort study. *Lancet* 385, 2190–2196, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61684-6 (2015). [PubMed: 25726514]
11. Franke B et al. The genetics of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults, a review. *Molecular psychiatry* 17, 960–987, doi:10.1038/mp.2011.138 (2012). [PubMed: 22105624]
12. Faraone SV et al. Molecular genetics of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biological psychiatry* 57, 1313–1323, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.024 (2005). [PubMed: 15950004]
13. Burt SA Rethinking environmental contributions to child and adolescent psychopathology: a meta-analysis of shared environmental influences. *Psychological bulletin* 135, 608–637, doi:10.1037/a0015702 (2009). [PubMed: 19586164]
14. Larsson H, Anckarsater H, Rastam M, Chang Z & Lichtenstein P Childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder as an extreme of a continuous trait: a quantitative genetic study of 8,500 twin pairs. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 53, 73–80, doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02467.x (2012). [PubMed: 21923806]
15. Christiansen H et al. Co-transmission of conduct problems with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: familial evidence for a distinct disorder. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 115, 163–175, doi: 10.1007/s00702-007-0837-y (2008). [PubMed: 18200434]
16. Kuntsi J et al. The separation of ADHD inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity symptoms: pathways from genetic effects to cognitive impairments and symptoms. *Journal of abnormal child psychology* 42, 127–136, doi:10.1007/s10802-013-9771-7 (2014). [PubMed: 23839718]
17. Rommelse NN, Franke B, Geurts HM, Hartman CA & Buitelaar JK Shared heritability of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder. *European child & adolescent psychiatry* 19, 281–295, doi:10.1007/s00787-010-0092-x (2010). [PubMed: 20148275]
18. Ghirardi L et al. The familial co-aggregation of ASD and ADHD: a register-based cohort study. *Molecular psychiatry*, doi:10.1038/mp.2017.17 (2017).
19. Larsson H et al. Risk of bipolar disorder and schizophrenia in relatives of people with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science* 203, 103–106, doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.112.120808 (2013). [PubMed: 23703314]
20. Faraone SV, Biederman J & Wozniak J Examining the comorbidity between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and bipolar I disorder: a meta-analysis of family genetic studies. *The American journal of psychiatry* 169, 1256–1266, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12010087 (2012). [PubMed: 23212057]
21. Faraone SV & Biederman J Do attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and major depression share familial risk factors? *The Journal of nervous and mental disease* 185, 533–541 (1997). [PubMed: 9307614]
22. Neale BM et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 49, 884–897, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2010.06.008 (2010). [PubMed: 20732625]
23. Anttila V et al. Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *BioRxiv*, 1–22 (2016).

24. Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics, C. et al. Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from genome-wide SNPs. *Nature genetics* 45, 984–994, doi: 10.1038/ng.2711 (2013). [PubMed: 23933821]
25. Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics, C. Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major psychiatric disorders: a genome-wide analysis. *Lancet* 381, 1371–1379, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62129-1 (2013). [PubMed: 23453885]
26. Hamshere ML et al. High loading of polygenic risk for ADHD in children with comorbid aggression. *The American journal of psychiatry* 170, 909–916, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.12081129 (2013). [PubMed: 23599091]
27. Hamshere ML et al. Shared polygenic contribution between childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and adult schizophrenia. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science* 203, 107–111, doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.112.117432 (2013). [PubMed: 23703318]
28. Groen-Blokhuis MM et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder polygenic risk scores predict attention problems in a population-based sample of children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 53, 1123–1129 e1126, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2014.06.014 (2014). [PubMed: 25245356]
29. Martin J, Hamshere ML, Stergiakouli E, O'Donovan MC & Thapar A Genetic risk for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder contributes to neurodevelopmental traits in the general population. *Biological psychiatry* 76, 664–671, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.02.013 (2014). [PubMed: 24673882]
30. Middeldorp CM et al. A Genome-Wide Association Meta-Analysis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Population-Based Pediatric Cohorts. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 55, 896–905 e896, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2016.05.025 (2016). [PubMed: 27663945]
31. Yang L et al. Polygenic transmission and complex neuro developmental network for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: genome-wide association study of both common and rare variants. *American journal of medical genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric genetics : the official publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics* 162B, 419–430, doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.32169 (2013).
32. Zayats T et al. Genome-wide analysis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Norway. *PloS one* 10, e0122501, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122501 (2015). [PubMed: 25875332]
33. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics, C. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* 511, 421–427, doi:10.1038/nature13595 (2014). [PubMed: 25056061]
34. Genomes Project C et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 526, 68–74, doi: 10.1038/nature15393 (2015). [PubMed: 26432245]
35. Price AL et al. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nature genetics* 38, 904–909, doi:10.1038/ng1847 (2006). [PubMed: 16862161]
36. Willer CJ, Li Y & Abecasis GR METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2190–2191, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq340 (2010). [PubMed: 20616382]
37. Bulik-Sullivan BK et al. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature genetics* 47, 291–295, doi:10.1038/ng.3211 (2015). [PubMed: 25642630]
38. Purcell SM et al. Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature* 460, 748–752, doi:10.1038/nature08185 (2009). [PubMed: 19571811]
39. Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, Biederman J & Rohde LA The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and metaregression analysis. *The American journal of psychiatry* 164, 942–948, doi:10.1176/ajp.2007.164.6.942 (2007). [PubMed: 17541055]
40. Finucane HK et al. Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nature genetics* 47, 1228–1235, doi:10.1038/ng.3404 (2015). [PubMed: 26414678]
41. Zheng J et al. LD Hub: a centralized database and web interface to perform LD score regression that maximizes the potential of summary level GWAS data for SNP heritability and genetic

- correlation analysis. *Bioinformatics* 33, 272–279, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw613 (2017). [PubMed: 27663502]
42. Bulik-Sullivan B et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nature genetics* 47, 1236–1241, doi:10.1038/ng.3406 (2015). [PubMed: 26414676]
 43. Wray NR & Sullivan PF Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/167577 (2017).
 44. Duncan L et al. Significant Locus and Metabolic Genetic Correlations Revealed in Genome-Wide Association Study of Anorexia Nervosa. *The American journal of psychiatry* 174, 850–858, doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16121402 (2017). [PubMed: 28494655]
 45. Benyamin B et al. Childhood intelligence is heritable, highly polygenic and associated with FBNP1L. *Molecular psychiatry* 19, 253–258, doi:10.1038/mp.2012.184 (2014). [PubMed: 23358156]
 46. Okbay A et al. Genetic variants associated with subjective well-being, depressive symptoms, and neuroticism identified through genome-wide analyses. *Nature genetics* 48, 624–633, doi: 10.1038/ng.3552 (2016). [PubMed: 27089181]
 47. Rietveld CA et al. GWAS of 126,559 individuals identifies genetic variants associated with educational attainment. *Science* 340, 1467–1471, doi:10.1126/science.1235488 (2013). [PubMed: 23722424]
 48. Rietveld CA et al. Common genetic variants associated with cognitive performance identified using the proxy-phenotype method. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 13790–13794, doi:10.1073/pnas.1404623111 (2014). [PubMed: 25201988]
 49. Davies G et al. Genome-wide association study of cognitive functions and educational attainment in UK Biobank (N=112 151). *Molecular psychiatry* 21, 758–767, doi:10.1038/mp.2016.45 (2016). [PubMed: 27046643]
 50. Teslovich TM et al. Biological, clinical and population relevance of 95 loci for blood lipids. *Nature* 466, 707–713, doi:10.1038/nature09270 (2010). [PubMed: 20686565]
 51. Morris AP et al. Large-scale association analysis provides insights into the genetic architecture and pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. *Nature genetics* 44, 981–990, doi:10.1038/ng.2383 (2012). [PubMed: 22885922]
 52. Bradfield JP et al. A genome-wide association meta-analysis identifies new childhood obesity loci. *Nature genetics* 44, 526–531, doi:10.1038/ng.2247 (2012). [PubMed: 22484627]
 53. Berndt SI et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 11 new loci for anthropometric traits and provides insights into genetic architecture. *Nature genetics* 45, 501–512, doi:10.1038/ng.2606 (2013). [PubMed: 23563607]
 54. Speliotes EK et al. Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new loci associated with body mass index. *Nature genetics* 42, 937–948, doi:10.1038/ng.686 (2010). [PubMed: 20935630]
 55. Shungin D et al. New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. *Nature* 518, 187–196, doi:10.1038/nature14132 (2015). [PubMed: 25673412]
 56. Tobacco & Genetics, C. Genome-wide meta-analyses identify multiple loci associated with smoking behavior. *Nature genetics* 42, 441–447, doi:10.1038/ng.571 (2010). [PubMed: 20418890]
 57. Patel YM et al. Novel Association of Genetic Markers Affecting CYP2A6 Activity and Lung Cancer Risk. *Cancer Res* 76, 5768–5776, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0446 (2016). [PubMed: 27488534]
 58. Wang Y et al. Rare variants of large effect in BRCA2 and CHEK2 affect risk of lung cancer. *Nature genetics* 46, 736–741, doi:10.1038/ng.3002 (2014). [PubMed: 24880342]
 59. Barban N et al. Genome-wide analysis identifies 12 loci influencing human reproductive behavior. *Nature genetics* 48, 1462–1472, doi:10.1038/ng.3698 (2016). [PubMed: 27798627]
 60. Hammerschlag AR et al. Genome-wide association analysis of insomnia complaints identifies risk genes and genetic overlap with psychiatric and metabolic traits. *Nature genetics* 49, 1584–1592, doi:10.1038/ng.3888 (2017). [PubMed: 28604731]
 61. Pilling LC et al. Human longevity is influenced by many genetic variants: evidence from 75,000 UK Biobank participants. *Aging (Albany NY)* 8, 547–560, doi:10.18632/aging.100930 (2016). [PubMed: 27015805]

62. Hawi Z et al. The molecular genetic architecture of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Molecular psychiatry* 20, 289–297, doi:10.1038/mp.2014.183 (2015). [PubMed: 25600112]
63. Sia GM, Clem RL & Hagan RL The human language-associated gene SRPX2 regulates synapse formation and vocalization in mice. *Science* 342, 987–991, doi:10.1126/science.1245079 (2013). [PubMed: 24179158]
64. Tsui D, Vessey JP, Tomita H, Kaplan DR & Miller FD FoxP2 regulates neurogenesis during embryonic cortical development. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 33, 244–258, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1665-12.2013 (2013). [PubMed: 23283338]
65. Schreiweis C et al. Humanized Foxp2 accelerates learning by enhancing transitions from declarative to procedural performance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 14253–14258, doi:10.1073/pnas.1414542111 (2014). [PubMed: 25225386]
66. Jensen CM & Steinhausen HC Comorbid mental disorders in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a large nationwide study. *Atten Defic Hyperact Disord* 7, 27–38, doi:10.1007/s12402-014-0142-1 (2015). [PubMed: 24942707]
67. Larson K, Russ SA, Kahn RS & Halfon N Patterns of comorbidity, functioning, and service use for US children with ADHD, 2007. *Pediatrics* 127, 462–470, doi:10.1542/peds.2010-0165 (2011). [PubMed: 21300675]
68. Peyre H et al. Relationship between early language skills and the development of inattention/hyperactivity symptoms during the preschool period: Results of the EDEN mother-child cohort. *BMC psychiatry* 16, 380, doi:10.1186/s12888-016-1091-3 (2016). [PubMed: 27821161]
69. Breiderhoff T et al. Sortilin-related receptor SORCS3 is a postsynaptic modulator of synaptic depression and fear extinction. *PloS one* 8, e75006, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075006 (2013). [PubMed: 24069373]
70. Hyde CL et al. Identification of 15 genetic loci associated with risk of major depression in individuals of European descent. *Nature genetics* 48, 1031–1036, doi:10.1038/ng.3623 (2016). [PubMed: 27479909]
71. Caunt CJ & Keyse SM Dual-specificity MAP kinase phosphatases (MKPs): shaping the outcome of MAP kinase signalling. *FEBS J* 280, 489–504, doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08716.x (2013). [PubMed: 22812510]
72. Mortensen OV MKP3 eliminates depolarization-dependent neurotransmitter release through downregulation of L-type calcium channel Cav1.2 expression. *Cell Calcium* 53, 224–230, doi: 10.1016/j.ceca.2012.12.004 (2013). [PubMed: 23337371]
73. Mortensen OV, Larsen MB, Prasad BM & Amara SG Genetic complementation screen identifies a mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase, MKP3, as a regulator of dopamine transporter trafficking. *Mol Biol Cell* 19, 2818–2829, doi:10.1091/mbc.E07-09-0980 (2008). [PubMed: 18434601]
74. Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang G, Ding Y & Gatley SJ Mechanism of action of methylphenidate: insights from PET imaging studies. *J Atten Disord* 6 Suppl 1, S31–43 (2002). [PubMed: 12685517]
75. Volkow ND et al. Therapeutic doses of oral methylphenidate significantly increase extracellular dopamine in the human brain. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 21, RC121 (2001).
76. Consortium GT The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nature genetics* 45, 580–585, doi:10.1038/ng.2653 (2013). [PubMed: 23715323]
77. Qu X et al. Identification, characterization, and functional study of the two novel human members of the semaphorin gene family. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 277, 35574–35585, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M206451200 (2002). [PubMed: 12110693]
78. Okbay A et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with educational attainment. *Nature* 533, 539–542, doi:10.1038/nature17671 (2016). [PubMed: 27225129]
79. Zhernakova DV et al. Identification of context-dependent expression quantitative trait loci in whole blood. *Nature genetics* 49, 139–145, doi:10.1038/ng.3737 (2017). [PubMed: 27918533]

80. Hu H et al. ST3GAL3 mutations impair the development of higher cognitive functions. *American journal of human genetics* 89, 407–414, doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.08.008 (2011). [PubMed: 21907012]
81. Oliver PL et al. Disruption of Visc-2, a Brain-Expressed Conserved Long Noncoding RNA, Does Not Elicit an Overt Anatomical or Behavioral Phenotype. *Cereb Cortex* 25, 3572–3585, doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhu196 (2015). [PubMed: 25209608]
82. Sobreira N, Walsh MF, Batista D & Wang T Interstitial deletion 5q14.3-q21 associated with iris coloboma, hearing loss, dental anomaly, moderate intellectual disability, and attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. *Am J Med Genet A* 149A, 2581–2583, doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.33079 (2009). [PubMed: 19876902]
83. Le Meur N et al. MEF2C haploinsufficiency caused by either microdeletion of the 5q14.3 region or mutation is responsible for severe mental retardation with stereotypic movements, epilepsy and/or cerebral malformations. *J Med Genet* 47, 22–29, doi:10.1136/jmg.2009.069732 (2010). [PubMed: 19592390]
84. Novara F et al. Refining the phenotype associated with MEF2C haploinsufficiency. *Clin Genet* 78, 471–477, doi:10.1111/j.1399-0004.2010.01413.x (2010). [PubMed: 20412115]
85. Lambert JC et al. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nature genetics* 45, 1452–1458, doi:10.1038/ng.2802 (2013). [PubMed: 24162737]
86. Gene Ontology, C. Gene Ontology Consortium: going forward. *Nucleic acids research* 43, D1049–1056, doi:10.1093/nar/gku1179 (2015). [PubMed: 25428369]
87. Subramanian A et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102, 15545–15550, doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102 (2005). [PubMed: 16199517]
88. de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T & Posthuma D MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of GWAS data. *PLoS Comput Biol* 11, e1004219, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004219 (2015). [PubMed: 25885710]
89. Vernes SC et al. Foxp2 regulates gene networks implicated in neurite outgrowth in the developing brain. *PLoS genetics* 7, e1002145, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002145 (2011). [PubMed: 21765815]
90. Spiteri E et al. Identification of the transcriptional targets of FOXP2, a gene linked to speech and language, in developing human brain. *American journal of human genetics* 81, 1144–1157, doi: 10.1086/522237 (2007). [PubMed: 17999357]
91. Lek M et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature* 536, 285–291, doi:10.1038/nature19057 (2016). [PubMed: 27535533]
92. Ebejer JL et al. Genome-wide association study of inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity measured as quantitative traits. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 16, 560–574, doi:10.1017/thg.2013.12 (2013). [PubMed: 23527680]
93. Grove J et al. Common risk variants identified in autism spectrum disorder. *bioRxiv*, doi: 10.1101/224774 (2017).
94. Lindblad-Toh K et al. A high-resolution map of human evolutionary constraint using 29 mammals. *Nature* 478, 476–482, doi:10.1038/nature10530 (2011). [PubMed: 21993624]
95. Flory K, Molina BS, Pelham WE Jr., Gnagy E & Smith B Childhood ADHD predicts risky sexual behavior in young adulthood. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol* 35, 571–577, doi:10.1207/s15374424jccp3504_8 (2006). [PubMed: 17007602]
96. Marsh LE, Norvilitis JM, Ingersoll TS & Li B ADHD symptomatology, fear of intimacy, and sexual anxiety and behavior among college students in China and the United States. *J Atten Disord* 19, 211–221, doi:10.1177/1087054712453483 (2015). [PubMed: 22893505]
97. Hosain GM, Berenson AB, Tennen H, Bauer LO & Wu ZH Attention deficit hyperactivity symptoms and risky sexual behavior in young adult women. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 21, 463–468, doi:10.1089/jwh.2011.2825 (2012). [PubMed: 22303821]
98. Chudal R et al. Parental age and the risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a nationwide, population-based cohort study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 54, 487–494 e481, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2015.03.013 (2015). [PubMed: 26004664]

99. Chang Z et al. Maternal age at childbirth and risk for ADHD in offspring: a population-based cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol* 43, 1815–1824, doi:10.1093/ije/dyu204 (2014). [PubMed: 25355726]
100. Ostergaard SD, Dalsgaard S, Faraone SV, Munk-Olsen T & Laursen TM Teenage Parenthood and Birth Rates for Individuals With and Without Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 56, 578–584 e573, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2017.05.003 (2017). [PubMed: 28647009]
101. Barbaresi WJ, Katusic SK, Colligan RC, Weaver AL & Jacobsen SJ Long-term school outcomes for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a population-based perspective. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 28, 265–273, doi:10.1097/DBP.0b013e31811ff87d (2007). [PubMed: 17700078]
102. Faraone SV et al. Intellectual performance and school failure in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and in their siblings. *Journal of abnormal psychology* 102, 616–623 (1993). [PubMed: 8282932]
103. Snickers S et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 78,308 individuals identifies new loci and genes influencing human intelligence. *Nature genetics* 49, 1107–1112, doi:10.1038/ng.3869 (2017). [PubMed: 28530673]
104. Kong A et al. Selection against variants in the genome associated with educational attainment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 114, E727–E732, doi:10.1073/pnas.1612113114 (2017). [PubMed: 28096410]
105. Lee SS, Humphreys KL, Flory K, Liu R & Glass K Prospective association of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and substance use and abuse/dependence: a meta-analytic review. *Clin Psychol Rev* 31, 328–341, doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.01.006 (2011). [PubMed: 21382538]
106. Halfon N, Larson K & Slusser W Associations between obesity and comorbid mental health, developmental, and physical health conditions in a nationally representative sample of US children aged 10 to 17. *Acad Pediatr* 13, 6–13, doi:10.1016/j.acap.2012.10.007 (2013). [PubMed: 23200634]
107. Chen AY, Kim SE, Houtrow AJ & Newacheck PW Prevalence of obesity among children with chronic conditions. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 18, 210–213, doi:10.1038/oby.2009.185 (2010). [PubMed: 19521350]
108. Cortese S et al. Association Between ADHD and Obesity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The American journal of psychiatry* 173, 34–43, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15020266 (2016). [PubMed: 26315982]
109. Owens JA A clinical overview of sleep and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 18, 92–102 (2009). [PubMed: 19495429]
110. Lubke GH, Hudziak JJ, Derks EM, van Bijsterveldt TC & Boomsma DI Maternal ratings of attention problems in ADHD: evidence for the existence of a continuum. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 48, 1085–1093, doi:10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181ba3dbb (2009). [PubMed: 19797980]
111. Cortese S, Comencini E, Vincenzi B, Speranza M & Angriman M Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and impairment in executive functions: a barrier to weight loss in individuals with obesity? *BMC psychiatry* 13, 286, doi:10.1186/1471-244X-13-286 (2013). [PubMed: 24200119]
112. Ortal S et al. The Role of Different Aspects of Impulsivity as Independent Risk Factors for Substance Use Disorders in Patients with ADHD: A Review. *Curr Drug Abuse Rev* 8, 119–133 (2015). [PubMed: 26373850]

Methods-only references

113. Sudmant PH et al. An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504 human genomes. *Nature* 526, 75–81, doi:10.1038/nature15394 (2015). [PubMed: 26432246]
114. Delaneau O, Marchini J & Zagury JF A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes. *Nature methods* 9, 179–181, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1785 (2011). [PubMed: 22138821]
115. Howie B, Marchini J & Stephens M Genotype imputation with thousands of genomes. *G3* 1, 457–470, doi:10.1534/g3.111.001198 (2011). [PubMed: 22384356]

116. Purcell S et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *American journal of human genetics* 81, 559–575, doi:10.1086/519795 (2007). [PubMed: 17701901]
117. Chang CC et al. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* 4, 7, doi:10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8 (2015). [PubMed: 25722852]
118. Galinsky KJ et al. Fast Principal-Component Analysis Reveals Convergent Evolution of ADH1B in Europe and East Asia. *American journal of human genetics* 98, 456–472, doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.12.022 (2016). [PubMed: 26924531]
119. Winkler TW et al. Quality control and conduct of genome-wide association meta-analyses. *Nat. Protocols* 9, 1192–1212, doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.071 (2014). [PubMed: 24762786]
120. Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME & Visscher PM GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. *American journal of human genetics* 88, 76–82, doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.11.011 (2011). [PubMed: 21167468]
121. Kundaje A et al. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* 518, 317–330 (2015). [PubMed: 25693563]
122. Ernst J & Kellis M Large-scale imputation of epigenomic datasets for systematic annotation of diverse human tissues. *Nature biotechnology* 33, 364–376 (2015).
123. Wellcome Trust Case Control, C. et al. Bayesian refinement of association signals for 14 loci in 3 common diseases. *Nature genetics* 44, 1294–1301, doi:10.1038/ng.2435 (2012). [PubMed: 23104008]
124. McLaren W et al. The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol* 17, 122, doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0974-4 (2016). [PubMed: 27268795]
125. Harrow J et al. GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. *Genome Res* 22, 1760–1774, doi:10.1101/gr.135350.111 (2012). [PubMed: 22955987]
126. Won H et al. Chromosome conformation elucidates regulatory relationships in developing human brain. *Nature* 538, 523–527, doi:10.1038/nature19847 (2016). [PubMed: 27760116]
127. Consortium GT Human genomics. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* 348, 648–660, doi:10.1126/science.1262110 (2015). [PubMed: 25954001]
128. Roadmap Epigenomics C et al. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* 518, 317–330, doi:10.1038/nature14248 (2015). [PubMed: 25693563]
129. Yates F Contingency Tables Involving Small Numbers and the χ^2 Test. *Supplement to the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 1, 217–235, doi:10.2307/2983604 (1934).
130. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing., <<http://www.r-project.org/>> (2014).
131. Turley P et al. MTAG: Multi-Trait Analysis of GWAS. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/118810 (2017).




Figure 1. Manhattan plot of the results from the GWAS meta-analysis of ADHD.

The index variants in the 12 genome-wide significant loci are highlighted as a green diamond. Index variants located with a distance less than 400kb are considered as one locus. The y-axis represents $-\log(\text{two-sided P-values})$ for association of variants with ADHD, from meta-analysis using an inverse-variance weighted fixed effects model, and a total sample size of 20,183 ADHD cases and 35,191 controls. The vertical red line represents the threshold for genome-wide significance.




Figure 2. Odds Ratio by PRS for ADHD

Odds Ratio (OR) by PRS within each decile estimated for $n = 18,298$ biological independent individuals in the PGC samples (red dots) and in $n = 37,076$ biological independent individuals in the iPSYCH sample (blue dots). PRSs in the iPSYCH sample were obtained by five leave-one-out analyses, using 4 of 5 groups as training datasets for estimation of SNP weights, while estimating Polygenic Risk Scores (PRS) for the remaining target group. Odds ratios and 95% confidence limits (error bars) were estimated using logistic regression on the continuous scores.




Figure 3. Genetic correlations of ADHD with other phenotypes

Significant genetic correlations between ADHD (results from European GWAS meta-analysis of 19,099 cases, 34,194 controls) and other traits reveal overlap of genetic risk factors for ADHD across several groups of traits (grouping indicated by a horizontal line): educational, psychiatric/personality, weight (and possible weight related traits), smoking behaviour/smoking-related cancer, reproductive traits and parental longevity (Sample size of the external GWASs are presented in Supplementary Table 5). In total 219 traits were tested and only traits significant after Bonferroni correction are presented in the figure. Two

significant educational phenotypes are omitted due to substantial overlap with years of schooling. Genetic correlation is presented as a dot and error bars indicate 95% confidence limits.

Table 1.

Results for the genome-wide significant index variants in the 12 loci associated with ADHD identified in the GWAS meta-analysis of 20,183 cases and 35,191 controls. Index variants are LD independent ($r^2 < 0.1$), and are merged into one locus when located with a distance less than 400kb. The location (chromosome [Chr] and base position [BP]), alleles (A1 and A2), allele frequency (A1 Freq), odds ratio (OR) of the effect with respect to A1, and association P-values from inverse-variance weighted fixed effects model, of the index variant are given, along with genes within 50kb of the credible set for the locus.

Locus	Chr	BP	Index Variant	Genes	A1	A2	A1 Freq	OR	P-value
1	1	44184192	rs11420276	<i>ST3GAL3</i> , <i>KDM4A</i> , <i>KDM4A-AS1</i> , <i>PTPRF</i> , <i>SLC6A9</i> , <i>ARTN</i> , <i>DPH2</i> , <i>ATP6V0B</i> , <i>B4GALT2</i> , <i>CCDC24</i> , <i>IPO13</i>	G	GT	0.696	1.113	2.14×10^{-13}
2	1	96602440	rs1222063	Intergenic	A	G	0.328	1.101	3.07×10^{-8}
3	2	215181889	rs9677504	<i>SPAG16</i>	A	G	0.109	1.124	1.39×10^{-8}
4	3	20669071	rs4858241	Intergenic	T	G	0.622	1.082	1.74×10^{-8}
5	4	31151456	rs28411770	<i>PCDH7</i> , <i>LINC02497</i>	T	C	0.651	1.090	1.15×10^{-8}
6	5	87854395	rs4916723	<i>LINC00461</i> , <i>MIR9-2</i> , <i>LINC02060</i> , <i>TMEM161B-AS1</i>	A	C	0.573	0.926	1.58×10^{-8}
7	7	114086133	rs5886709	<i>FOXP2</i> , <i>MIR3666</i>	G	GTC	0.463	1.079	1.66×10^{-8}
8	8	34352610	rs74760947	<i>LINC01288</i>	A	G	0.957	0.835	1.35×10^{-8}
9	10	106747354	rs11591402	<i>SORCS3</i>	A	T	0.224	0.911	1.34×10^{-8}
10	12	89760744	rs1427829	<i>DUSP6</i> , <i>POC1B</i>	A	G	0.434	1.083	1.82×10^{-9}
11	15	47754018	rs281324	<i>SEMA6D</i>	T	C	0.531	0.928	2.68×10^{-8}
12	16	72578131	rs212178	<i>LINC01572</i>	A	G	0.883	0.891	7.68×10^{-9}